arXiv:1704.05805v1 [nlin.SI] 19 Apr 2017

Darboux integrability of trapezoidal H^4 and H^6 families of lattice equations II: General Solutions

G. Gubbiotti[1,†] C. Scimiterna[1,‡] R.I. Yamilov[2]

[1] Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università degli Studi Roma Tre and Sezione INFN di Roma Tre, Via della Vasca Navale 84, 00146 Roma, Italy
[†]E-mail: gubbiotti@mat.uniroma3.it
[‡]E-mail: scimiterna@fis.uniroma3.it
[2] Institute of Mathematics, Ufa Scientific Center, Russian Academy of Sciences, 112 Chernyshevsky Street, Ufa 450008, Russian Federation
E-mail: RvlYamilov@matem.anrb.ru

Abstract

In this paper we construct the general solutions of two families of partial difference equations defined on the quad graph, namely the trapezoidal H^4 equations and the H^6 equations. These solutions are obtained exploiting the properties of the first integrals in the Darboux sense, which were derived in [G. Gubbiotti, R. Yamilov, Darboux integrability of trapezoidal H^4 and H^6 families of lattice equations I: First integrals, 2017. arXiv:1608.03506v2]. These first integrals are used to reduce the problem to the solution of some linear or linearizable non-autonomous ordinary difference equations which can be formally solved.

1 Introduction

Since its introduction the integrability criterion denoted Consistency Around the Cube (CAC) has been a source of many results in the classification of nonlinear partial difference equations on a quad graph. The importance of this criterion relies on the fact that it ensures the existence of Bäcklund transformations [5, 9, 12, 33, 34] and, as a consequence, of Lax pairs. It is well known [38] that Lax pairs and Bäcklund transforms are associated with both linearizable and integrable equations. We point out that to be *bona fide* a Lax pair has to give rise to a genuine spectral problem [10], otherwise the Lax pair is called *fake Lax pair* [11, 24–27]. A fake Lax pair is useless in proving (or disproving) the integrability, since it can be equally found for integrable and non-integrable equations. In the linearizable case Lax pairs must be then fake ones, even if proving it is usually a highly nontrivial task [18].

The first attempt to classify all the multi-affine partial difference equations defined on the quad graph and possessing CAC was carried out in [1]. There the quad graph was treated as a geometric object not embedded in any $\mathbb{Z}^2$-lattice, as displayed in Figure 1. Then the quad-equation is an expression of the form:

$$Q\left(x, x_1, x_2, x_{12}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2\right) = 0, \tag{1}$$

connecting some *a priori* independent fields x, x_1, x_2, x_{12} assigned to the vertices of the quad graph, see Figure 1. Q is assumed to be a *multi-affine* polynomial in x, x_1, x_2, x_{12} and, as shown in Figure 1, α_1 and α_2 are parameters assigned to the edges of the quad graph.



Figure 1: The purely geometric quad graph not embedded in any lattice.

In this setting, we define the Consistency Around the Cube as follows: assume we are given six quad-equations:

$$A\left(x, x_1, x_2, x_{12}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2\right) = 0, \tag{2a}$$
$$\bar{A}\left(x_3, x_{13}, x_{23}, x_{123}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2\right) = 0, \tag{2b}$$
$$B\left(x, x_2, x_3, x_{23}; \alpha_3, \alpha_2\right) = 0, \tag{2c}$$
$$\bar{B}\left(x_1, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{123}; \alpha_3, \alpha_2\right) = 0, \tag{2d}$$
$$C\left(x, x_1, x_3, x_{13}; \alpha_1, \alpha_3\right) = 0, \tag{2e}$$
$$\bar{C}\left(x_2, x_{12}, x_{23}, x_{123}; \alpha_1, \alpha_3\right) = 0, \tag{2f}$$

arranged on the faces of a cube as in Figure 2. Then if x_{123} computed from (2b), (2d) and (2f) coincide we say that the system (2) possesses the Consistency Around the Cube.

In [1] the classification was carried out up to the action of a general Möbius transformation and up to point transformations of the edge parameters, with the additional assumptions:

1. All the faces of the cube in Figure 2 carry the same equation up to the edge parameters.

2. The quad-equation (1) possesses the D_4 discrete symmetries:

$$\begin{aligned} Q\left(x, x_1, x_2, x_{12}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2\right) &= \mu Q\left(x, x_2, x_1, x_{12}; \alpha_2, \alpha_1\right) \\ &= \mu' Q\left(x_1, x, x_{12}, x_2; \alpha_1, \alpha_2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

   where $\mu, \mu' \in \{ \pm 1 \}$.



Figure 2: Equations on a Cube

3. The system (2) possesses the *tetrahedron property*, i.e. x_{123} is independent of x:

$$x_{123} = x_{123}\left(x, x_1, x_2, x_3; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\right) \implies \frac{\partial x_{123}}{\partial x} = 0. \tag{4}$$

The result was the existence of only three classes of discrete autonomous equations with these properties: the H equations, the Q equations and the A. However the A equations can be transformed in particular cases of the Q equations through non-autonomous Möbius transformation. Therefore the A equations are usually removed from the general classification.

Releasing the hypothesis that every face of the cube carried the same equation, the same authors in [2] presented some new equations without classification purposes. A complete classification in this extended setting was then accomplished by R. Boll in a series of papers culminating in his Ph.D. thesis [6–8]. In these papers the classification of all the consistent sextuples of partial difference equations on the quad graph, i.e. systems of the form (2), has been carried out. The only technical assumption used in [6–8] is the tetrahedron property. The obtained equations may fall into three disjoint families depending on their bi-quadratics:

$$h_{ij} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_k}\frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_l} - Q\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial y_k\,\partial y_l}, \qquad Q = Q\left(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4; \alpha_1, \alpha_2\right), \tag{5}$$

where we use a special notation for variables of Q, and the pair $\{k, l\}$ is the complement of the pair $\{i, j\}$ in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. A bi-quadratic is called *degenerate* if it contains linear factors of the form $y_i - c$, where c is a constant, otherwise a bi-quadratic is called *non-degenerate*. The three families are classified depending on how many bi-quadratics are degenerate:

- Q-type equations: all the bi-quadratics are non-degenerate,
- H^4-type equations: four bi-quadratics are degenerate,
- H^6-type equations: all of the six bi-quadratics are degenerate.

Let us notice that the Q family is the same as the one introduced in [1]. The H^4 equations are divided into two subclasses: *rhombic* and *trapezoidal*, depending on their discrete symmetries.

We remark that all classification results hold locally in the sense that they relate to a single quadrilateral cell or a single cube displayed in Figures 1 and 2. The important problem of embedding these results into a two- or three-dimensional lattice, with preservation of the three-dimensional consistency condition, was already discussed in [2, 37] by using the concept of a Black and White lattice. One way to solve this problem is to embed (1) into a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-lattice with an elementary cell of size greater than one. In this case, the quad-equation (1) can be extended to a lattice, and the lattice equation becomes integrable or linearizable. To this end, following [6–8], we reflect the square with respect to the normal to its right and top sides and then complete a 2×2 lattice by again reflecting one of the obtained squares in the other direction. Such procedure is graphically described in Figure 3.



Figure 3: The "four stripe" lattice.

This corresponds to constructing three equations obtained from (1) by flipping its arguments:

$$Q = Q(x, x_1, x_2, x_{12}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0, \tag{6a}$$

$$|Q = Q(x_1, x, x_{12}, x_2; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0, \tag{6b}$$

$$\underline{Q} = Q(x_2, x_{12}, x, x_1; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0, \tag{6c}$$

$$|\underline{Q} = Q(x_{12}, x_2, x_1, x; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0. \tag{6d}$$

By paving the whole $\mathbb{Z}^2$ with such equations, we get a partial difference equation which can be in principle studied using known methods. Since *a priori* $Q \neq |Q \neq \underline{Q} \neq |\underline{Q}$, the obtained lattice will be a four stripe lattice, i.e. an extension of the Black and White lattice considered, for example, in [2, 31, 37]. This gives rise to lattice equations with two-periodic coefficients for an unknown function

$u_{n,m}$, with $(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} & F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} Q(u_{n,m}, u_{n+1,m}, u_{n,m+1}, u_{n+1,m+1}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ & + F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} | Q(u_{n,m}, u_{n+1,m}, u_{n,m+1}, u_{n+1,m+1}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ & + F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \underline{Q}(u_{n,m}, u_{n+1,m}, u_{n,m+1}, u_{n+1,m+1}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ & + F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} | \underline{Q}(u_{n,m}, u_{n+1,m}, u_{n,m+1}, u_{n+1,m+1}; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$F_k^{(\pm)} = \frac{1 \pm (-1)^k}{2}. \tag{8}$$

This explicit formula was first presented in [18]. For more details on the construction of equations on the lattice from the single cell equations, we refer to [6–8, 37] and to the Appendix in [17].

A detailed study of all the lattice equations derived from the *rhombic* H^4 family, including the construction of their three-leg forms, Lax pairs, Bäcklund transformations and infinite hierarchies of generalized symmetries, has been presented in [37]. So there was plenty of results about the Q and the rhombic H^4 equations. On the contrary, besides the CAC property little was known about the integrability features of the *trapezoidal* H^4 equations and of the H^6 equations. Therefore these equations where thoroughly studied in a series of papers [17–21] with some unexpected results. First in [17] was presented their explicit non-autonomous form, constructed using the rules above given. Indeed it was shown that on the $\mathbb{Z}^2$ lattice with coordinates (n,m) the trapezoidal H^4 equations had the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_tH_1 \colon\ & (u_{n,m} - u_{n+1,m})(u_{n,m+1} - u_{n+1,m+1}) \\ & - \alpha_2 \varepsilon^2 \left(F_m^{(+)} u_{n,m+1} u_{n+1,m+1} + F_m^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m} \right) - \alpha_2 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{9a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_tH_2 \colon\ & (u_{n,m} - u_{n+1,m})(u_{n,m+1} - u_{n+1,m+1}) \\ & + \alpha_2 (u_{n,m} + u_{n+1,m} + u_{n,m+1} + u_{n+1,m+1}) \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon \alpha_2}{2} \left(2F_m^{(+)} u_{n,m+1} + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_2 \right) \left(2F_m^{(+)} u_{n+1,m+1} + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_2 \right) \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon \alpha_2}{2} \left(2F_m^{(-)} u_{n,m} + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_2 \right) \left(2F_m^{(-)} u_{n+1,m} + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_2 \right) \\ & + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_2)^2 - \alpha_3^2 - 2\varepsilon \alpha_2 \alpha_3 (\alpha_3 + \alpha_2) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{9b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_tH_3 \colon\ & \alpha_2 (u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m+1} + u_{n+1,m} u_{n,m+1}) \\ & - (u_{n,m} u_{n,m+1} + u_{n+1,m} u_{n+1,m+1}) - \alpha_3 (\alpha_2^2 - 1) \delta^2 + \\ & - \frac{\varepsilon^2 (\alpha_2^2 - 1)}{\alpha_3 \alpha_2} \left(F_m^{(+)} u_{n,m+1} u_{n+1,m+1} + F_m^{(-)} u_{n,m} u_{n+1,m} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{9c}$$

and the H^6 equations had the following expression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_1D_2\colon\quad & \left(F^{(-)}_{n+m}-\delta_1F^{(+)}_nF^{(-)}_m+\delta_2F^{(+)}_nF^{(+)}_m\right)u_{n,m} && \text{(10a)}\\
&+\left(F^{(+)}_{n+m}-\delta_1F^{(-)}_nF^{(-)}_m+\delta_2F^{(-)}_nF^{(+)}_m\right)u_{n+1,m}\\
&+\left(F^{(+)}_{n+m}-\delta_1F^{(+)}_nF^{(+)}_m+\delta_2F^{(+)}_nF^{(-)}_m\right)u_{n,m+1}\\
&+\left(F^{(-)}_{n+m}-\delta_1F^{(-)}_nF^{(+)}_m+\delta_2F^{(-)}_nF^{(-)}_m\right)u_{n+1,m+1}\\
&+\delta_1\left(F^{(-)}_mu_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}+F^{(+)}_mu_{n,m+1}u_{n+1,m+1}\right)\\
&+F^{(+)}_{n+m}u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m+1}+F^{(-)}_{n+m}u_{n+1,m}u_{n,m+1}=0,\\
{}_2D_2\colon\quad & \left(F^{(-)}_m-\delta_1F^{(+)}_nF^{(-)}_m+\delta_2F^{(+)}_nF^{(+)}_m-\delta_1\lambda F^{(-)}_nF^{(+)}_m\right)u_{n,m} && \text{(10b)}\\
&+\left(F^{(-)}_m-\delta_1F^{(-)}_nF^{(-)}_m+\delta_2F^{(-)}_nF^{(+)}_m-\delta_1\lambda F^{(+)}_nF^{(+)}_m\right)u_{n+1,m}\\
&+\left(F^{(+)}_m-\delta_1F^{(+)}_nF^{(+)}_m+\delta_2F^{(+)}_nF^{(-)}_m-\delta_1\lambda F^{(-)}_nF^{(-)}_m\right)u_{n,m+1}\\
&+\left(F^{(+)}_m-\delta_1F^{(-)}_nF^{(+)}_m+\delta_2F^{(-)}_nF^{(-)}_m-\delta_1\lambda F^{(+)}_nF^{(-)}_m\right)u_{n+1,m+1}\\
&+\delta_1\left(F^{(-)}_{n+m}u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m+1}+F^{(+)}_{n+m}u_{n+1,m}u_{n,m+1}\right)\\
&+F^{(+)}_mu_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}+F^{(-)}_mu_{n,m+1}u_{n+1,m+1}-\delta_1\delta_2\lambda=0,\\
{}_3D_2\colon\quad & \left(F^{(-)}_m-\delta_1F^{(-)}_nF^{(-)}_m+\delta_2F^{(+)}_nF^{(+)}_m-\delta_1\lambda F^{(-)}_nF^{(+)}_m\right)u_{n,m} && \text{(10c)}\\
&+\left(F^{(-)}_m-\delta_1F^{(+)}_nF^{(-)}_m+\delta_2F^{(-)}_nF^{(+)}_m-\delta_1\lambda F^{(+)}_nF^{(+)}_m\right)u_{n+1,m}\\
&+\left(F^{(+)}_m-\delta_1F^{(-)}_nF^{(+)}_m+\delta_2F^{(+)}_nF^{(-)}_m-\delta_1\lambda F^{(-)}_nF^{(-)}_m\right)u_{n,m+1}\\
&+\left(F^{(+)}_m-\delta_1F^{(+)}_nF^{(+)}_m+\delta_2F^{(-)}_nF^{(-)}_m-\delta_1\lambda F^{(+)}_nF^{(-)}_m\right)u_{n+1,m+1}\\
&+\delta_1\left(F^{(-)}_nu_{n,m}u_{n,m+1}+F^{(+)}_nu_{n+1,m}u_{n+1,m+1}\right)\\
&+F^{(-)}_mu_{n,m+1}u_{n+1,m+1}+F^{(+)}_mu_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}-\delta_1\delta_2\lambda=0,\\
D_3\colon\quad & F^{(+)}_nF^{(+)}_mu_{n,m}+F^{(-)}_nF^{(+)}_mu_{n+1,m}+F^{(+)}_nF^{(-)}_mu_{n,m+1} && \text{(10d)}\\
&+F^{(-)}_nF^{(-)}_mu_{n+1,m+1}+F^{(-)}_mu_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}\\
&+F^{(-)}_nu_{n,m}u_{n,m+1}+F^{(-)}_{n+m}u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m+1}\\
&+F^{(+)}_{n+m}u_{n+1,m}u_{n,m+1}+F^{(+)}_nu_{n+1,m}u_{n+1,m+1}\\
&+F^{(+)}_mu_{n,m+1}u_{n+1,m+1}=0,\\
{}_1D_4\colon\quad & \delta_1\left(F^{(-)}_nu_{n,m}u_{n,m+1}+F^{(+)}_nu_{n+1,m}u_{n+1,m+1}\right) && \text{(10e)}\\
&+\delta_2\left(F^{(-)}_mu_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}+F^{(+)}_mu_{n,m+1}u_{n+1,m+1}\right)\\
&+u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m+1}+u_{n+1,m}u_{n,m+1}+\delta_3=0,\\
{}_2D_4\colon\quad & \delta_1\left(F^{(-)}_nu_{n,m}u_{n,m+1}+F^{(+)}_nu_{n+1,m}u_{n+1,m+1}\right) && \text{(10f)}\\
&+\delta_2\left(F^{(-)}_{n+m}u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m+1}+F^{(+)}_{n+m}u_{n+1,m}u_{n,m+1}\right)\\
&+u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}+u_{n,m+1}u_{n+1,m+1}+\delta_3=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the coefficients $F_k^{(\pm)}$ are given by (8). Then in [17] the Algebraic Entropy [4, 30, 35, 36] of the trapezoidal $H^4$and the H^6 equations was computed. The result of this computation showed that the *rate of growth* of all the trapezoidal H^4 (9) and of all H^6 equations (10) is *linear*. This fact according to the *Algebraic Entropy conjecture* [13,30] implies the linearizability. To support this result two explicit examples of linearization were given.

The ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ equation (9a) was studied in [18] and it was found that it possessed three-point generalized symmetries depending on arbitrary functions. This property was then linked in [19] to the fact that the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ is *Darboux integrable* [3]. We say that a quad-equation, possibly non-autonomous:

$$Q_{n,m}\left(u_{n,m}, u_{n+1,m}, u_{n,m+1}, u_{n+1,m+1}\right) = 0, \tag{11}$$

is *Darboux integrable* if there exist two independent *first integrals*, one containing only shifts in the first direction and the other containing only shifts in the second direction. This means that there exist two functions:

$$W_1 = W_{1,n,m}(u_{n+l_1,m}, u_{n+l_1+1,m}, \ldots, u_{n+k_1,m}), \tag{12a}$$
$$W_2 = W_{2,n,m}(u_{n,m+l_2}, u_{n,m+l_2+1}, \ldots, u_{n,m+k_2}), \tag{12b}$$

where $l_1 < k_1$ and $l_2 < k_2$ are integers, such that the relations

$$(T_n - \mathrm{Id})W_2 = 0, \tag{13a}$$
$$(T_m - \mathrm{Id})W_1 = 0 \tag{13b}$$

hold true identically on the solutions of (11). By T_n, T_m we denote the shift operators in the first and second directions, i.e. $T_n h_{n,m} = h_{n+1,m}$, $T_m h_{n,m} = h_{n,m+1}$, and by Id we denote the identity operator $\mathrm{Id}\, h_{n,m} = h_{n,m}$. The number $k_i - l_i$, where $i = 1, 2$, is called the *order* of the first integral W_i.

In addition to this result concerning the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ equation in [19] it was proved that other quad-equations Consistent Around the Cube, which were known to be linearizable [28, 29], were in fact Darboux integrable. These facts provide some evidence of an intimate connection between linearizable equations possessing CAC and Darboux integrability. Following these ideas in [22] it was showed that *all* the trapezoidal H^4 equations and *all* the H^6 equations are Darboux integrable. This result was proved by explicitly constructing the first integrals with a new algorithm based on those proposed in [14,15,23]. This new algorithm relies on the fact that in the case of non-autonomous quad equations (11) with two-periodic coefficients we can, in general, represent the first integrals in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} W_i = {} & F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}W_i^{(+,+)} + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}W_i^{(-,+)} \\ & + F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}W_i^{(+,-)} + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}W_i^{(-,-)}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $F_k^{(\pm)}$ are given by (8) and the $W_i^{(\pm,\pm)}$ are functions. The existence of the first integrals provides a rigorous proof of the linearizability of the trapezoidal H^4 equation (9) and of the H^6 equations (10). Indeed equation (13) implies that the following two transformations:

$$u_{n,m} \to \tilde{u}_{n,m} = W_{1,n,m}, \tag{15a}$$
$$u_{n,m} \to \hat{u}_{n,m} = W_{2,n,m}, \tag{15b}$$

bring the quad-equation (11) into two *trivial linear equations*:

$$\tilde{u}_{n,m+1} - \tilde{u}_{n,m} = 0, \tag{16a}$$

$$\hat{u}_{n+1,m} - \hat{u}_{n,m} = 0. \tag{16b}$$

Therefore any Darboux integrable equation is *linearizable in two different ways*, i.e. using transformation (15a) bringing to (16a) or using the transformation (15b) bringing to (16b).

In the final Section of [22] it was showed in the case of the ${}_tH_1^{\varepsilon}$ equation how it is possible to find the general solution using the first integrals, applying a modification of the procedure presented in [14]. In particular we showed how it is possible to obtain a general solution using first integrals of order greater than one. We note that equations with first integrals of first order one are *trivial*, since possessing a first integral of order one means that *the equation itself is a first integral*.

In this paper we show that from the knowledge of the first integrals and from the properties of the equations it is possible to construct, maybe after some complicate algebra, the general solutions of all the remaining trapezoidal H^4 equations (9) and of the H^6 equations (10). By general solution we mean a representation of the solution of any of the equations in (9) and (10) in terms of the right number of arbitrary functions of one lattice variable n or m. Since the trapezoidal H^4 equations (9) and the H^6 equations (10) are quad equations, i.e. the discrete analogue of second order hyperbolic partial differential equations, the general solution must contain an arbitrary function in the n direction and another one in the m direction.

To obtain the desired solution we will need only the W_1 integrals derived in [22] and the fact that the relation (13b) implies $W_1 = \xi_n$ with ξ_n an arbitrary function of n. The equation $W_1 = \xi_n$ can be interpreted as an ordinary difference equation in the n direction depending parametrically on m. Then from every W_1 integral we can derive two different ordinary difference equations, one corresponding to m even and one corresponding to m odd. In both the resulting equation we can get rid of the two-periodic terms by considering the cases n even and n odd and defining:

$$u_{2k,2l} = v_{k,l}, \quad u_{2k+1,2l} = w_{k,l}, \tag{17a}$$

$$u_{2k,2l+1} = y_{k,l}, \quad u_{2k+1,2l+1} = z_{k,l}. \tag{17b}$$

This transformation brings both equations to a *system* of *coupled difference equations*. This reduction to a system is the key ingredient in the construction of the general solutions for the trapezoidal H^4 equations (9) and for the H^6 equations (10).

We note that the transformation (17) can be applied to the trapezoidal H^4 equations[1] and H^6 equations themselves. This casts these *non-autonomous equations with two-periodic coefficients* into *autonomous systems of four equations*. We recall that in this way some examples of direct linearization (i.e. without the knowledge of the first integrals) were produced in [17]. Finally we note that if we apply the even/odd splitting of the lattice variables given by

[1] In fact, in the case of the trapezoidal H^4 equations (9), we use a simpler transformation instead of (17), see Section 4.

equation (17) to describe a general solution we will need two arbitrary functions in both directions, i.e. we will need a total of four arbitrary functions.

In practice to construct these general solutions, we need to solve Riccati equations and non-autonomous linear equations which, in general, cannot be solved in closed form. Using the fact that these equations contain arbitrary functions we introduce new arbitrary functions so that we can solve these equations. This is usually done reducing to *total difference*, i.e. to ordinary difference equations which can be *trivially* solved. Let us assume we are given the difference equation:

$$u_{n+1,m} - u_{n,m} = v_n, \tag{18}$$

depending parametrically on another discrete index m. Then if we can express the function v_n as a *discrete derivative*:

$$v_n = w_{n+1} - w_n, \tag{19}$$

then the solution of equation (18) is simply:

$$u_{n,m} = w_n + v_m, \tag{20}$$

where v_m is an arbitrary function of the discrete variable m. This is the simplest possible example of reduction to total difference. The general solutions will then be expressed in terms of these new arbitrary functions obtained reducing to total differences and in terms of a finite number of *discrete integrations*, i.e. the solutions of the simple ordinary difference equation:

$$u_{n+1} - u_n = v_n, \tag{21}$$

where u_n is the unknown and v_n is an assigned function. We note that the discrete integration (21) is the discrete analogue of the differential equation $u'(x) = v(x)$.

To summarize, in this paper we prove the following result:

> *The trapezoidal H^4 equations* (9) *and H^6 equations* (10) *are exactly solvable and we can represent the solution in terms of a finite number of discrete integration* (21).

The paper is structured in Sections. In Section 2 we treat the ${}_1D_2$, ${}_2D_2$ and ${}_3D_2$ equations. In this case the construction of the general solution is carried out from the sole knowledge of the first integral. The equation acts only as a compatibility condition for the arbitrary functions obtained in the procedure. The solution obtained is explicit. In Section 3 we treat the D_3, ${}_1D_4$ and ${}_2D_4$ equations. In this case the construction of the general solution is carried out through a series of manipulations in the equation itself and from the knowledge of the first integral. The key point will be that the equations defined by the first integrals can be reduced to a single linear equation. The solution is obtained up to two discrete integrations, one in every direction. In Section 4 we treat the ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ and the ${}_tH_3^\varepsilon$ equations. In this case the construction of the general solution is carried out reducing the equation to a partial difference equation defined on six points and then using the equations defined by the first integrals. The equations defined by the first integrals are reduced to discrete Riccati equations. The solution will be be given in terms of four discrete integrations. Finally in Section 5 some conclusions will be given.

2 The ${}_iD_2$ equations $i = 1, 2, 3$

Here we treat the problem of finding a general solution to the three forms of the D_2 equation, which we denote collectively as ${}_iD_2$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$ and which are given by (10a), (10b) and (10c).

2.1 ${}_1D_2$ equation

From [22] we know that the W_1 first integral of the ${}_1D_2$ equation (10a) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{\left[(1+\delta_2)\, u_{n,m} + u_{n+1,m}\right] \delta_1 - u_{n,m}}{\delta_1 \left\{\left[(1+\delta_2)\, u_{n,m} + u_{n-1,m}\right] \delta_1 - u_{n,m}\right\}} \\ &+ F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \alpha \frac{1 + (u_{n+1,m} - 1)\, \delta_1}{(1 + (u_{n-1,m} - 1)\, \delta_1)\, \delta_1} \\ &+ F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \beta \left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}\right) \\ &- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{\left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}\right) \left[1 - (1 - u_{n,m})\, \delta_1\right]}{\delta_2 + u_{n,m}}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

As stated in the Introduction from the relation $W_1 = \xi_n$ this first integral defines a three-point, second order ordinary difference equation in the n direction which depends parametrically on m. From the parametric dependence we find two different three-point non-autonomous ordinary difference equations corresponding to m even and m odd. We treat them separately.

Case $m = 2l$: If $m = 2l$ we have the following non-autonomous ordinary difference equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &F_n^{(+)} \frac{\left[(1+\delta_2)\, u_{n,2l} + u_{n+1,2l}\right] \delta_1 - u_{n,2l}}{\left[(1+\delta_2)\, u_{n,2l} + u_{n-1,2l}\right] \delta_1 - u_{n,2l}} \\ &+ F_n^{(-)} \left(u_{n+1,2l} - u_{n-1,2l}\right) = \xi_n, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where without loss of generality we have chosen $\alpha = \delta_1$ and $\beta = 1$. We can easily see, that once solved for $u_{n+1,2l}$ the equation is *linear*:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1,2l} &+ \frac{F_m^{(+)} \left(\delta_1 + \delta_1\delta_2 - 1 - \xi_n\delta_1 - \xi_n\delta_1\delta_2 + \xi_n\delta_1\right) u_{n,2l}}{\delta_1} \\ &- \left(F_m^{(+)}\xi_n + F_m^{(-)}\right) u_{n-1,2l} - F_m^{(-)}\xi_n = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Tackling this equation directly is very difficult, but we can separate again the cases when n is even and odd and convert (24) into a system using the standard transformation (17a):

$$w_{k,l} - \xi_{2k} w_{k-1,l} = \frac{\delta}{\delta_1} \left(1 - \xi_{2k}\right) v_{k,l}, \tag{25a}$$

$$v_{k+1,l} - v_{k,l} = \xi_{2k+1}, \tag{25b}$$

where:

$$\delta = 1 - \delta_1\delta_2 - \delta_1. \tag{26}$$

Now we have two *first order* ordinary difference equations. Equation (25b) is uncoupled from equation (25a). Furthermore, since ξ_{2k} and ξ_{2k+1} are independent functions we can write $\xi_{2k+1} = a_{k+1} - a_k$. So the second equation possesses the trivial solution[2]:

$$v_{k,l} = \alpha_l + a_k. \tag{27}$$

Now introduce (27) into (25a) and solve the equation for $w_{k,l}$:

$$w_{k,l} - \xi_{2k} w_{k-1,l} = \frac{\delta}{\delta_1} \left(1 - \xi_{2k}\right) \left(\alpha_l + a_k\right). \tag{28}$$

We define $\xi_{2k} = b_k / b_{k-1}$ and perform the change of dependent variable: $w_{k,l} = b_k W_{k,l}$. Then $W_{k,l}$ solves the equation:

$$W_{k,l} - W_{k-1,l} = \frac{\delta}{\delta_1} \left(\frac{1}{b_k} - \frac{1}{b_{k-1}}\right) \left(\alpha_l + a_k\right). \tag{29}$$

The solution of this difference equation is given by:

$$W_{k,l} = \beta_l + \frac{\delta}{\delta_1} \frac{\alpha_l}{b_k} + c_k, \tag{30}$$

where c_k is such that:

$$c_k - c_{k-1} = \frac{\delta}{\delta_1} \left(\frac{1}{b_k} - \frac{1}{b_{k-1}}\right) a_k. \tag{31}$$

Equation (31) is not a total difference, but it can be used to define a_k in terms of the arbitrary functions b_k and c_k:

$$a_k = -\frac{\delta_1}{\delta} \frac{b_k b_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} \left(c_k - c_{k-1}\right). \tag{32}$$

This means that we have the following solution for the system (25):

$$v_{k,l} = \alpha_l - \frac{\delta_1}{\delta} \frac{b_k b_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} \left(c_k - c_{k-1}\right). \tag{33a}$$

$$w_{k,l} = b_k \left(\beta_l + c_k\right) + \frac{\delta}{\delta_1} \alpha_l, \tag{33b}$$

Case $m = 2l + 1$**:** If $m = 2l+1$ we have the following non-autonomous ordinary difference equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &F_m^{(+)} \frac{1 + \left(u_{n+1,2l+1} - 1\right) \delta_1}{1 + \left(u_{n-1,2l+1} - 1\right) \delta_1} \\ &+ F_m^{(-)} \frac{\left(u_{n-1,2l+1} - u_{n+1,2l+1}\right) \left[1 - \delta_1 \left(1 - u_{n,2l+1}\right)\right]}{\delta_2 + u_{n,2l+1}} = \xi_n, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

[2]From now on we use the convention of naming the arbitrary functions depending on k with Latin letters and the functions depending on l by Greek ones.

We can easily see that the equation is genuinely nonlinear. However we can separate the cases when n is even and odd and convert (34) into a system using the standard transformation (17b):

$$z_{k,l} - \xi_{2k} z_{k-1,l} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\delta_1}\right)(1 - \xi_{2k}), \tag{35a}$$

$$y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l} = \frac{\xi_{2k+1}}{\delta_1} \frac{\delta - 1 + \delta_1 (1 - z_{k,l})}{1 - \delta_1 (1 - z_{k,l})}, \tag{35b}$$

where we used the definition (26). This is a system of two *first order* difference equation, and equation (35a) is linear and uncoupled from (35b). As $\xi_{2k} = b_k/b_{k-1}$ we have that (35a) is a total difference:

$$\frac{z_{k,l}}{b_k} - \frac{z_{k-1,l}}{b_{k-1}} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\delta_1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{b_k} - \frac{1}{b_{k-1}}\right). \tag{36}$$

Hence the solution of (36) is given by:

$$z_{k,l} = 1 - \frac{1}{\delta_1} + b_k \gamma_l. \tag{37}$$

Inserting (37) into (35b) and using the definition of ξ_{2k+1} in terms of a_k, i.e. $\xi_{2k+1} = a_{k+1} - a_k$ we obtain:

$$y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l} = -\left(\frac{1}{\delta_1} + \frac{\delta}{\delta_1^2 b_k \gamma_l}\right)(a_{k+1} - a_k). \tag{38}$$

We can then represent the solution of (36) as:

$$y_{k,l} = \delta_l + \frac{\delta d_k}{\delta_1^2 \gamma_l} - \frac{a_k}{\delta_1}, \tag{39}$$

where d_k satisfies the first order linear difference equation:

$$d_{k+1} - d_k = \frac{a_{k+1} - a_k}{b_k}. \tag{40}$$

Inserting the value of a_k given by (32) inside (40) we obtain that this equation is a total difference. Then d_k is given by:

$$d_k = -\frac{(c_k - c_{k-1}) b_{k-1} \delta_1}{(b_k - b_{k-1})\delta} - \frac{\delta_1 c_k}{\delta}. \tag{41}$$

This means that finally we have the following solutions for the fields $z_{k,l}$ and $y_{k,l}$:

$$z_{k,l} = 1 - \frac{1}{\delta_1} + b_k \gamma_l, \tag{42a}$$

$$y_{k,l} = \delta_l - \frac{(c_k - c_{k-1}) b_{k-1}}{(b_k - b_{k-1})\delta_1 \gamma_l} - \frac{c_k}{\delta_1 \gamma_l} + \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{b_k b_{k-1} (c_k - c_{k-1})}{b_k - b_{k-1}}. \tag{42b}$$

Equations (33,42) provide the value of the four fields, but we have too many arbitrary functions in the m direction, namely α_l, β_l, γ_l and δ_l. Introducing (33,42) into (10a) and separating the terms even and odd in n and m we obtain two independent equations:

$$(\alpha_l + \delta_1\delta_l)\gamma_l + \beta_l = 0, \quad (\alpha_{l+1} + \delta_1\delta_l)\gamma_l + \beta_{l+1} = 0, \tag{43}$$

which allow us to reduce by two the number of independent functions in the m direction. Solving equations (43) with respect to γ_l and δ_l we obtain:

$$\gamma_l = -\frac{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l}, \quad \delta_l = \frac{1}{\delta_1}\frac{\beta_l\alpha_{l+1} - \beta_{l+1}\alpha_l}{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}. \tag{44}$$

Therefore the general solution for the ${}_1D_2$ equation (10a) is given by:

$$v_{k,l} = \alpha_l - \frac{\delta_1}{\delta}\frac{b_k b_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}}\left(c_k - c_{k-1}\right), \tag{45a}$$

$$w_{k,l} = b_k\left(\beta_l + c_k\right) + \frac{\delta}{\delta_1}\alpha_l, \tag{45b}$$

$$z_{k,l} = 1 - \frac{1}{\delta_1} - b_k\frac{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l}, \tag{45c}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{k,l} = {} & \frac{1}{\delta_1}\frac{\beta_l\alpha_{l+1} - \beta_{l+1}\alpha_l}{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l} + \frac{1}{\delta}\frac{b_k b_{k-1}\left(c_k - c_{k-1}\right)}{b_k - b_{k-1}} \\ & + \left[\frac{(c_k - c_{k-1})b_{k-1}}{(b_k - b_{k-1})\delta_1} + \frac{c_k}{\delta_1}\right]\frac{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l}{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}. \end{aligned} \tag{45d}$$

Remark 1: It is easy to see that the solution (45) is ill-defined if $\delta_1 = 0$ or $\delta = 0$. We will treat these two particular cases separately.

Case $\delta = 0$: If $\delta = 0$ we can solve (26) with respect to δ_1:

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{1 + \delta_2}. \tag{46}$$

The first integral (22) is not singular for δ_1 given by (46). The procedure of solution becomes different only when we arrive to the systems of ordinary difference equations (25) and (35). So we will present the solution of the systems in this case.

Case $m = 2k$: If δ_1 is given by equation (46) the system (25) becomes:

$$w_{k,l} - \xi_{2k}w_{k-1,l} = 0, \tag{47a}$$

$$v_{k+1,l} - v_{k,l} = \xi_{2k+1}. \tag{47b}$$

The system (47) is uncoupled and imposing $\xi_{2k} = a_k/a_{k-1}$ and $\xi_{2k+1} = b_{k+1} - b_k$ it is readily solved to give:

$$w_{k,l} = a_k\alpha_l, \tag{48a}$$

$$v_{k,l} = b_k + \beta_l. \tag{48b}$$

Case $m = 2k + 1$**:** If δ_1 is given by equation (46) the system (35) becomes:

$$\frac{\delta_2 + z_{k,l}}{a_k} = \frac{\delta_2 + z_{k-1,m}}{a_{k-1}}, \tag{49a}$$

$$-\frac{y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l}}{1 + \delta_2} = b_{k+1} - b_k, \tag{49b}$$

where we used the fact that $\xi_{2k} = a_k/a_{k-1}$ and $\xi_{2k+1} = b_{k+1} - b_k$. The solution to this system is immediate and it is given by:

$$z_{k,l} = a_k \gamma_l - \delta_2, \tag{50a}$$

$$y_{k,l} = (1 + \delta_2)(\delta_l - b_k). \tag{50b}$$

As in the general case we obtained the expressions of the four fields, but we have too many arbitrary functions in the l direction, namely α_l, β_l, γ_l and δ_l. Substituting the obtained expressions (48,50) in the equation ${}_1D_2$ (10a) with δ_1 given by equation (46) separating the even and odd terms we obtain two compatibility conditions:

$$\alpha_l + \gamma_l \beta_l + \gamma_l \delta_l = 0, \quad \alpha_{l+1} + \gamma_l \beta_{l+1} + \gamma_l \delta_l = 0. \tag{51}$$

We can solve this equation with respect to γ_l and δ_l and we obtain:

$$\gamma_l = -\frac{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l}{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}, \quad \delta_l = -\frac{\beta_l \alpha_{l+1} - \beta_{l+1} \alpha_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l}. \tag{52}$$

Therefore the general solution for the ${}_1D_2$ equation (10a) if δ_1 is given by (46) is:

$$w_{k,l} = a_k \alpha_l, \tag{53a}$$

$$v_{k,l} = b_k + \beta_l. \tag{53b}$$

$$z_{k,l} = -a_k \frac{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l}{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l} - \delta_2, \tag{53c}$$

$$y_{k,l} = -(1 + \delta_2)\left(\frac{\beta_l \alpha_{l+1} - \beta_{l+1} \alpha_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l} + b_k\right). \tag{53d}$$

Case $\delta_1 = 0$**:** If $\delta_1 = 0$ the first integral (22) is singular. Then following [22] the ${}_1D_2$ equation (10a) with $\delta_1 = 0$ possesses in the direction n the following three-point, second order integral:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^{(0,\delta_2)} &= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}}{u_{n,m}} - F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \alpha (u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}) \\ &+ F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \beta (u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}) + F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{u_{n-1,m} - u_{n+1,m}}{\delta_2 + u_{n,m}}. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

In order to solve the ${}_1D_2$ equation (10a) in this case we use the first integral (54). We start separating the cases even and odd in m.

Case $m = 2k$: If $m = 2k$ we obtain from the first integral (54):

$$F_n^{(+)} \frac{u_{n+1,2l} - u_{n-1,2l}}{u_{n,2l}} + F_n^{(-)} \left(u_{n+1,2l} - u_{n-1,2l}\right) = \xi_n, \tag{55}$$

where we have chosen without loss of generality $\alpha = \beta = 1$. This equation is non-linear. Applying the transformation (17a) we transform equation (55) into the system:

$$w_{k,l} - w_{k-1,l} = \xi_{2k} v_{k,l}, \tag{56a}$$

$$v_{k+1,l} - v_{k,l} = \xi_{2k+1}. \tag{56b}$$

The system (56) is linear and equation (56b) is uncoupled from equation (56a). If we put $\xi_{2k+1} = a_{k+1} - a_k$ then equation (56b) has the solution:

$$v_{k,l} = a_k + \alpha_l. \tag{57}$$

Substituting into (56a) we obtain:

$$w_{k,l} - w_{k-1,l} = \xi_{2k}(a_k + \alpha_l). \tag{58}$$

Equation (58) becomes a total difference if we set:

$$\xi_{2k} = b_k - b_{k-1}, \quad a_k = \frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} \tag{59}$$

and then the solution of the system (47) is given by:

$$v_{k,l} = \frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} + \alpha_l, \tag{60a}$$

$$w_{k,l} = c_k + \alpha_l b_k + \beta_l. \tag{60b}$$

Case $m = 2k + 1$: If $m = 2k + 1$ we obtain from the first integral (54):

$$F_n^{(+)} \left(u_{n-1,2l+1} - u_{n+1,2l+1}\right) - F_n^{(-)} \frac{\left(u_{n+1,2l+1} - u_{n-1,2l+1}\right)}{\delta_2 + u_{n,2l+1}} = \xi_n, \tag{61}$$

where we have chosen without loss of generality $\alpha = \beta = 1$. This equation is non-linear. Applying the transformation (17b) we transform equation (61) into the system:

$$z_{k-1,l} - z_{k,l} = b_k - b_{k-1}, \tag{62a}$$

$$y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l} = (a_{k+1} - a_k)(\delta_2 + z_{k,l}), \tag{62b}$$

where we used the values of ξ_{2k} and ξ_{2k+1}. The system is now linear and equation (62a) is solved by:

$$z_{k,l} = \gamma_l - b_k. \tag{63}$$

Substituting into (62b) we obtain:

$$y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l} = (a_{k+1} - a_k)(\delta_2 + \gamma_l - b_k). \tag{64}$$

Then we have that $y_{k,l}$ is given by:

$$y_{k,l} = \delta_l - (\gamma_l + \delta_2)\, a_k + d_k, \tag{65}$$

where d_k solves the ordinary difference equation:

$$d_{k+1} - d_k = b_{k+1}\frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k} - c_{k+1} - b_k\frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} + c_k. \tag{66}$$

In (66) we inserted the value of a_k according to (59). Equation (66) is a total difference and then d_k is given by:

$$d_k = b_k\frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} - c_k. \tag{67}$$

Then the solution of the system (62) is:

$$z_{k,l} = \gamma_l - b_k, \tag{68a}$$

$$y_{k,l} = \delta_l - (\gamma_l + \delta_2)\,\frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} + b_k\frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} - c_k. \tag{68b}$$

As in the general case we obtained the expressions of the four fields, but we have too many arbitrary functions in the l direction, namely α_l, β_l, γ_l and δ_l. Substituting the obtained expressions (60,68) in the equation ${}_1D_2$ (10a) with $\delta_1 = 0$ separating the even and odd terms we obtain two compatibility conditions:

$$(\gamma_l + \delta_2)\alpha_l + \delta_l + \beta_l = 0, \quad (\gamma_l + \delta_2)\alpha_{l+1} + \beta_{l+1} + \delta_l = 0. \tag{69}$$

We can solve equation (69) with respect to γ_l and δ_l and to obtain:

$$\gamma_l = -\delta_2 - \frac{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l}, \quad \delta_l = \frac{\beta_{l+1}\alpha_l - \alpha_{l+1}\beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l}. \tag{70}$$

Therefore the general solution for the ${}_1D_2$ equation (10a) if $\delta_1 = 0$ is:

$$v_{k,l} = \frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} + \alpha_l, \tag{71a}$$

$$w_{k,l} = c_k + \alpha_l b_k + \beta_l. \tag{71b}$$

$$z_{k,l} = -\delta_2 - \frac{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l} - b_k, \tag{71c}$$

$$y_{k,l} = \frac{\beta_{l+1}\alpha_l - \alpha_{l+1}\beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l} + \frac{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l}\frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} + b_k\frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} - c_k. \tag{71d}$$

This discussion exhausts the possible cases. For any value of the parameters we have the general solution of the ${}_1D_2$ equation (10a).

2.2 $_2D_2$ equation

From [22] we know that the W_1 first integrals of the $_2D_2$ equation (10b) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 \; &= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{\delta_2 + u_{n+1,m}}{\delta_2 + u_{n-1,m}} \\ &+ F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \alpha \frac{\left[1 - (1+\delta_2)\,\delta_1\right] u_{n,m} + u_{n+1,m}}{\left[1 - (1+\delta_2)\,\delta_1\right] u_{n,m} + u_{n-1,m}} \\ &+ F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \beta \frac{\left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n,m} + \delta_2\right)}{1 + \left(u_{n,m} - 1\right)\delta_1} \\ &- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

As stated in the Introduction from the relation $W_1 = \xi_n$ this first integral defines a three-point, second order ordinary difference equation in the n direction which depends parametrically on m. From this parametric dependence we find two different three-point non-autonomous ordinary difference equations corresponding to m even and m odd. We treat them separately.

Case $m = 2l$: If $m = 2l$ we have the following non-autonomous nonlinear ordinary difference equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &F_n^{(+)} \alpha \frac{\delta_2 + u_{n+1,2l}}{\delta_2 + u_{n-1,2l}} \\ &- F_n^{(-)} \beta \frac{\left(u_{n-1,2l} - u_{n+1,2l}\right)\left(u_{n,2l} + \delta_2\right)}{1 + \left(u_{n,2l} - 1\right)\delta_1} = \xi_n. \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Without loss of generality we set $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = \delta_1$. Then making the transformation

$$u_{n,2l} = U_{n,2l} - \delta_2 \tag{74}$$

and putting

$$\delta = \frac{1 - \delta_1 - \delta_1\delta_2}{\delta_1} \tag{75}$$

equation (73) is mapped to:

$$F_n^{(+)} \frac{U_{n+1,2l}}{U_{n-1,2l}} - F_n^{(-)} \frac{\left(U_{n-1,2l} - U_{n+1,2l}\right) U_{n,2l}}{U_{n,2l} + \delta} = \xi_n. \tag{76}$$

From the definition (17a) applied to $U_{n,2l}$ instead of $u_{n,2l}$[3] we can separate again the even and the odd part in (76). We obtain the following system of two coupled *first-order* ordinary difference equations:

$$W_{k,l} - \xi_{2k} W_{k-1,l} = 0, \tag{77a}$$

$$V_{k+1,l} - V_{k,l} = \xi_{2k+1} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{W_{k,l}}\right). \tag{77b}$$

Putting $\xi_{2k} = a_k/a_{k-1}$ the solution to (77a) is given by:

$$W_{k,l} = a_k \alpha_l. \tag{78}$$

[3] We will denote the corresponding fields with capital letters.

Inserting the value of $W_{k,l}$ from (78) into (77b) we obtain:

$$V_{k+1,l} - V_{k,l} = \xi_{2k+1}\left(1 + \frac{\delta}{a_k \alpha_l}\right). \tag{79}$$

If we define

$$\xi_{2k+1} = b_{k+1} - b_k, \quad a_k = \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k}, \tag{80}$$

then (79) becomes a total difference. So we obtain the following solutions for the $W_{k,l}$ and the $V_{k,l}$ fields:

$$W_{k,l} = \alpha_l \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k}, \tag{81a}$$

$$V_{k,l} = b_k + \beta_l + \delta \frac{c_k}{\alpha_l}. \tag{81b}$$

Inverting the transformation (74) we obtain for the fields $w_{k,l}$ and $v_{k,l}$:

$$w_{k,l} = \alpha_l \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k} + \frac{1}{\delta_1} - 1 - \delta, \tag{82a}$$

$$v_{k,l} = b_k + \beta_l + \delta \frac{c_k}{\alpha_l} + \frac{1}{\delta_1} - 1 - \delta. \tag{82b}$$

Case $m = 2l + 1$**:** If $m = 2l+1$ we have the following non-autonomous ordinary difference equation:

$$F_n^{(+)} \frac{\delta\delta_1 u_{n,2l+1} + u_{n+1,2l+1}}{\delta\delta_1 u_{n,2l+1} + u_{n-1,2l+1}} + F_n^{(-)} \delta_1 \left(u_{n-1,2l+1} - u_{n+1,2l+1}\right) = \xi_n, \tag{83}$$

where we already substituted δ as defined in (75). Using the standard transformation (17b) to get rid of the two-periodic factors we obtain:

$$\frac{\delta\delta_1 y_{k,l} + z_{k,l}}{\delta\delta_1 y_{k,l} + z_{k-1,l}} = \xi_{2k}, \tag{84a}$$

$$\delta_1 \left(y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l}\right) = \xi_{2k+1}. \tag{84b}$$

Both equations in (84) are linear in $z_{k,l}$ $y_{k,l}$ and their shifts. As $\xi_{2k+1} = b_{k+1} - b_k$ we have that the solution of equation (84b) is given by:

$$y_{k,l} = \gamma_l - \frac{b_k}{\delta_1}. \tag{85}$$

As $\xi_{2k} = a_k/a_{k-1}$ and $y_{k,l}$ is given by (85) we obtain:

$$\frac{z_{k,l}}{a_k} - \frac{z_{k-1,l}}{a_{k-1}} = \left(\frac{1}{a_k} - \frac{1}{a_{k-1}}\right)\left(\delta b_k - \delta\delta_1 \gamma_l\right). \tag{86}$$

Recalling the definition of a_k in (80) we represent $z_{k,l}$ as:

$$z_{k,l} = \delta b_k + \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k}\left(\delta_l - \delta c_k\right) - \delta\delta_1 \gamma_l. \tag{87}$$

Equations (82,85,87) provide the value of the four fields, but we have too many arbitrary functions in the m direction, namely α_l, β_l, γ_l and δ_l. Inserting (82,85,87) into (10b) and separating the terms even and odd in n and m we obtain two independent equations:

$$\delta_1\delta_l + \alpha_l\delta_1^2\gamma_l - {\delta_1}^2\lambda\alpha_l + \beta_l\alpha_l\delta_1 + \delta\alpha_l\delta_1 - \alpha_l + \alpha_l\delta_1 = 0, \tag{88a}$$

$$\delta_1\delta_l + \alpha_{l+1}\delta_1^2\gamma_l - \delta_1^2\lambda\alpha_{l+1} + \beta_{l+1}\alpha_{l+1}\delta_1 + \delta\alpha_{l+1}\delta_1 - \alpha_{l+1} + \alpha_{l+1}\delta_1 = 0, \tag{88b}$$

which allow us to reduce by two the number of independent functions in the m direction. Solving (88) with respect to γ_l and δ_l we find:

$$\gamma_l = -\frac{\beta_{l+1}\delta_1 - 1 - \delta_1^2\lambda + \delta\delta_1 + \delta_1}{\delta_1^2} - \frac{\alpha_l\left(\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l\right)}{\left(\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l\right)\delta_1}, \tag{89a}$$

$$\delta_l = \alpha_l\left(\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l\right) + \frac{\alpha_l^2\left(\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l\right)}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l} \tag{89b}$$

Therefore the general solution of the ${}_2D_2$ equation (10b) is given by:

$$v_{k,l} = b_k + \beta_l + \delta\frac{c_k}{\alpha_l} + \frac{1}{\delta_1} - 1 - \delta. \tag{90a}$$

$$w_{k,l} = \alpha_l\frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k} + \frac{1}{\delta_1} - 1 - \delta. \tag{90b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_{k,l} &= \delta b_k + \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k}\left[\alpha_l\left(\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l\right) + \frac{\alpha_l^2\left(\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l\right)}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l} - \delta c_k\right] \\ &+ \delta\frac{\beta_{l+1}\delta_1 - 1 - \delta_1^2\lambda + \delta\delta_1 + \delta_1}{\delta_1} + \frac{\delta\alpha_l\left(\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l\right)}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l}, \end{aligned} \tag{90c}$$

$$y_{k,l} = -\frac{\beta_{l+1}\delta_1 - 1 - \delta_1^2\lambda + \delta\delta_1 + \delta_1}{\delta_1^2} - \frac{\alpha_l\left(\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l\right)}{\left(\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l\right)\delta_1} - \frac{b_k}{\delta_1}. \tag{90d}$$

Remark 2: It is easy to see that the solution of the ${}_2D_2$ equation (10b) given by (90) is ill-defined if $\delta_1 = 0$. Therefore we have to threat this case separately. Following [22] we have the ${}_2D_2$ equation (10b) with $\delta_1 = 0$ possesses the following two-point, first order first integral in the direction n:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^{(0,\delta_2)} &= F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\left(\delta_2 + u_{n+1,m}\right)u_{n,m} - F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\alpha\left(u_{n+1,m} + u_{n,m}\right) \\ &+ F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\beta\left(\delta_2 + u_{n,m}\right)u_{n+1,m} - F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\left(u_{n+1,m} + u_{n,m}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

To solve the ${}_2D_2$ equation (10b) with $\delta_1 = 0$ we use the first integral (91). Again we start separating the cases m even and odd in (91).

Case $m = 2k$**:** If $m = 2k$ we obtain from the first integral (91):

$$F_n^{(+)}\left(\delta_2 + u_{n+1,2l}\right)u_{n,2l} + F_n^{(-)}\left(\delta_2 + u_{n,2l}\right)u_{n+1,2l} = \xi_n, \tag{92}$$

where we have chosen without loss of generality $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Applying the transformation (17a) equation (92) becomes the system:

$$v_{k,l}(\delta_2 + w_{k,l}) = \xi_{2k}, \tag{93a}$$

$$v_{k+1,l}(\delta_2 + w_{k,l}) = \xi_{2k+1}. \tag{93b}$$

In this case the system (93) do not consist of purely difference equations. Indeed from (93a) we can derive immediately the value of the field $w_{k,l}$:

$$w_{k,l} = -\delta_2 + \frac{\xi_{2k}}{v_{k,l}}. \tag{94}$$

Inserting (94) into (93b) we obtain that $v_{k,l}$ solves the equation:

$$v_{k+1,l} - \frac{\xi_{2k+1}}{\xi_{2k}} v_{k,l} = 0. \tag{95}$$

Defining:

$$\xi_{2k+1} = \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k}\xi_{2k}, \tag{96}$$

we have that (95) becomes a total difference. So we have that the system (93) is solved by:

$$v_{k,l} = a_k \alpha_l, \tag{97a}$$

$$w_{k,l} = -\delta_2 + \frac{\xi_{2k}}{a_k \alpha_l}. \tag{97b}$$

Case $m = 2k + 1$: If $m = 2k + 1$ we obtain from the first integral (91):

$$F_n^{(+)}\left(u_{n+1,2l+1} + u_{n,2l+1}\right) + F_n^{(-)}\left(u_{n+1,2l+1} + u_{n,2l+1}\right) = -\xi_n. \tag{98}$$

Applying the transformation (17b) equation (98) becomes the system:

$$y_{k,l} + z_{k,l} = -\xi_{2k}, \tag{99a}$$

$$z_{k,l} + y_{k+1,l} = -\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k}\xi_{2k}, \tag{99b}$$

where ξ_{2k+1} is given by (96). Equation (99a) is not a difference equation and can be solved to give:

$$z_{k,l} = -\xi_{2k} - y_{k,l}, \tag{100}$$

which inserted in (99b) gives:

$$y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l} = \left(1 - \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k}\right)\xi_{2k}. \tag{101}$$

Defining:

$$\xi_{2k} = -a_k \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{a_{k+1} - a_k} \tag{102}$$

equation (101) becomes a total difference. Therefore we can write the solution of the system (99) as:

$$y_{k,l} = b_k + \beta_l, \tag{103a}$$

$$z_{k,l} = a_k \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{a_{k+1} - a_k} - b_k - \beta_l. \tag{103b}$$

In this case we have the right number of arbitrary functions in both directions. So the solution of the ${}_2D_2$ equation with $\delta_1 = 0$ is given by:

$$v_{k,l} = a_k \alpha_l, \tag{104a}$$

$$w_{k,l} = -\delta_2 - \frac{1}{\alpha_l} \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{a_{k+1} - a_k}, \tag{104b}$$

$$y_{k,l} = b_k + \beta_l, \tag{104c}$$

$$z_{k,l} = a_k \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{a_{k+1} - a_k} - b_k - \beta_l. \tag{104d}$$

It can be checked that (104) is the general solution by inserting it into the ${}_2D_2$ equation (10b) with $\delta_1 = 0$.

2.3 ${}_3D_2$ equation

From [22] we know that the W_1 first integrals of the ${}_3D_2$ equation (10c) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 \; = & F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{(u_{n-1,m} + \delta_2)\left[1 + (u_{n+1,m} - 1)\,\delta_1\right]}{(u_{n+1,m} + \delta_2)\left[1 + (u_{n-1,m} - 1)\,\delta_1\right]} \\ & + F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \alpha \frac{u_{n,m} + (1 - \delta_1 - \delta_1\delta_2)\, u_{n-1,m}}{u_{n,m} + (1 - \delta_1 - \delta_1\delta_2)\, u_{n+1,m}} \\ & + F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \beta \left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(\delta_2 + u_{n,m}\right) \\ & - F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

As stated in the Introduction from the relation $W_1 = \xi_n$ this first integral defines a three-point, second order ordinary difference equation in the n direction which depends parametrically on m. From this parametric dependence we find two different three-point non-autonomous ordinary difference equations corresponding to m even and m odd. We treat them separately.

Case $m = 2l$: If $m = 2l$ we have the following non-autonomous nonlinear ordinary difference equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & F_n^{(+)} \frac{(u_{n-1,2l} + \delta_2)\left[1 + (u_{n+1,2l} - 1)\,\delta_1\right]}{(u_{n+1,2l} + \delta_2)\left[1 + (u_{n-1,2l} - 1)\,\delta_1\right]} \\ & + F_n^{(-)} \left(u_{n+1,2l} - u_{n-1,2l}\right)\left(\delta_2 + u_{n,2l}\right) = \xi_n \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

where we have chosen without loss of generality $\alpha = \beta = 1$. We can apply the usual transformation (17a) in order to separate the even and odd part in (106):

$$\frac{1 + (w_{k,l} - 1)\,\delta_1}{w_{k,l} + \delta_2} = \xi_{2k} \frac{1 + (w_{k-1,l} - 1)\,\delta_1}{w_{k-1,l} + \delta_2}, \tag{107a}$$

$$v_{k+1,l} - v_{k,l} = \frac{\xi_{2k+1}}{(\delta_2 + w_{k,l})}. \tag{107b}$$

This system of equations is still non-linear, but the equation (107a) is uncoupled from (107b). Moreover equation (107a) is a discrete Riccati

equation which can be linearized through the Möbius transformation:

$$w_{k,l} = -\delta_2 + \frac{1}{W_{k,l}}, \tag{108}$$

into:

$$W_{k,l} - \xi_{2k} W_{k-1,l} = \frac{\delta_1}{\delta}\left(\xi_{2k} - 1\right), \tag{109a}$$

$$v_{k+1,l} - v_{k,l} = \xi_{2k+1} W_{k,l}. \tag{109b}$$

where δ is given by equation (26).Putting $\xi_{2k} = a_k/a_{k-1}$ we have the following solution for (109a):

$$W_{k,l} = a_k \alpha_l - \frac{\delta_1}{\delta}. \tag{110}$$

Plugging (110) into equation (109b) and defining

$$\xi_{2k+1} = b_{k+1} - b_k, \quad a_k = \frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k}, \tag{111}$$

we have that equation (109b) becomes a total difference. Then the solution of (109b) can be written as:

$$v_{k,l} = -\frac{\delta_1}{\delta} b_k + c_k \alpha_l + \beta_l. \tag{112}$$

So using (108) we obtain the following solution for the original system (107):

$$w_{k,l} = \frac{\delta_1 - 1 + \delta}{\delta_1} + \frac{\delta(b_{k+1} - b_k)}{\delta\alpha_m(c_{k+1} - c_k) - (b_{k+1} - b_k)\delta_1}, \tag{113a}$$

$$v_{k,l} = -\frac{\delta_1}{\delta} b_k + c_k \alpha_l + \beta_l. \tag{113b}$$

Case $m = 2l + 1$**:** If $m = 2l+1$ we have the following non-autonomous ordinary difference equation:

$$F_n^{(+)} \frac{u_{n,2l+1} + \delta u_{n-1,2l+1}}{u_{n,2l+1} + \delta u_{n+1,2l+1}} - F_n^{(-)}\left(u_{n+1,2l+1} - u_{n-1,2l+1}\right) = \xi_n. \tag{114}$$

where without loss of generality $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and δ is given by (26). Solving with respect to $u_{n+1,2l+1}$ it is immediate to see that the resulting equation is linear. Then separating the even and the odd part using the transformation (17b) we obtain the following system of linear, first-order ordinary difference equations:

$$z_{k,l} - \frac{1}{\xi_{2k}} z_{k-1,l} = \frac{1}{\delta}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi_{2k}}\right) y_{k,l}, \tag{115a}$$

$$y_{k+1} - y_k = -\xi_{2k+1}. \tag{115b}$$

As $\xi_{2k+1} = b_{k+1} - b_k$ we obtain the solution of equation (115b):

$$y_{k,l} = -b_k + \gamma_l. \tag{116}$$

Substituting $y_{k,l}$ given by (116) into equation (115a) being $\xi_{2k} = a_k/a_{k-1}$, we obtain:

$$a_k z_{k,l} - a_{k-1} z_{k-1,l} = \frac{a_k - a_{k-1}}{\delta} (b_k - \gamma_l). \tag{117}$$

Then, in the usual way, we can represent the solution as:

$$z_{k,l} = \frac{b_k - \gamma_l}{\delta} + \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k} \left(\delta_l - \frac{c_k}{\delta} \right), \tag{118}$$

where we have used the explicit definition of a_k given in (111). So we have the explicit expression for both fields $y_{k,l}$ and $z_{k,l}$.

Equations (113,116,118) provide the value of the four fields, but we have too many arbitrary functions in the m direction, namely α_l, β_l, γ_l and δ_l. Inserting (113,116,118) into (10c) and separating the terms even and odd in n and m we obtain we obtain two equations:

$$\delta_l \delta^2 \alpha_l + (\beta_l - \delta_1 \lambda)\, \delta - \delta_1 \gamma_l = 0, \tag{119a}$$

$$\delta_l \delta^2 \alpha_{l+1} + (\beta_{l+1} - \delta_1 \lambda)\, \delta - \delta_1 \gamma_l = 0, \tag{119b}$$

which allow us to reduce by two the number of independent functions in the m direction. Indeed solving (119) with respect to γ_l and δ_l we find:

$$\gamma_l = \frac{\delta}{\delta_1} \left(\beta_l - \lambda \delta_1 - \alpha_l \frac{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l} \right), \tag{120a}$$

$$\delta_l = -\frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l}. \tag{120b}$$

Therefore the general solution of the ${}_3D_2$ equation (10c) is given by:

$$v_{k,l} = -\frac{\delta_1}{\delta} b_k + c_k \alpha_l, \tag{121a}$$

$$w_{k,l} = \frac{\delta_1 - 1 + \delta}{\delta_1} + \frac{\delta (b_{k+1} - b_k)}{\delta \alpha_l (c_{k+1} - c_k) - (b_{k+1} - b_k) \delta_1}, \tag{121b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_{k,l} &= \frac{b_k}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\delta_1} \left(\beta_l - \lambda \delta_1 - \alpha_l \frac{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k} \left(\frac{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l} + c_k \right), \end{aligned} \tag{121c}$$

$$y_{k,l} = -b_k + \frac{\delta}{\delta_1} \left(\beta_l - \lambda \delta_1 - \alpha_l \frac{\beta_{l+1} - \beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l} \right). \tag{121d}$$

Remark 3: It is easy to see that the solution (45) is ill-defined if $\delta_1 = 0$ and if $\delta = 0$. We will treat these two particular cases separately.

Case $\delta = 0$: If $\delta = 0$ we have that δ_1 is given by equation (46). In this case the first integral (105) is singular since the coefficient of α goes to a constant. Following [22] we have that the ${}_3D_2$ equation with δ_1 given by (46) possesses the following first integral in the direction n:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^{\left((1+\delta_2)^{-1},\delta_2\right)} &= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}}{(\delta_2 + u_{n+1,m})(\delta_2 + u_{n-1,m})} \\ &+ F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \alpha \frac{u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}}{(\delta_2 + 1)\, u_{n,m}} \\ &- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \beta \,(u_{n-1,m} - u_{n+1,m})(\delta_2 + u_{n,m}) \\ &- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \,(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

This first integral is a three-point, second order first integral. As in the general case we consider separately the m even and odd cases.

Case $m = 2k$: If $m = 2k$ then the first integral (122) becomes the following non-linear three-point, second order difference equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &F_n^{(+)} \frac{u_{n+1,2l} - u_{n-1,2l}}{(\delta_2 + u_{n+1,2l})(\delta_2 + u_{n-1,2l})} \\ &- F_n^{(-)} (u_{n-1,2l} - u_{n+1,2l})(\delta_2 + u_{n,2l}) = \xi_n, \end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

where without loss of generality $\alpha = \beta = 1$. If we separate the even and the odd part using the general transformation given by (17a) we obtain the system:

$$\frac{w_{k,l} - w_{k-1,l}}{(w_{k,l} + \delta_2)(w_{k-1,l} + \delta_2)} = \xi_{2k}, \tag{124a}$$

$$(v_{k+1,l} - v_{k,l})(w_{k,l} + \delta_2) = \xi_{2k+1}. \tag{124b}$$

This is a system of first order non-linear difference equations. However (124a) is uncoupled from (107b), and it is a discrete Riccati equation which can be linearized through the Möbius transformation (108). This linearize the system (124) to:

$$W_{k,l} - W_{k-1,l} = \xi_{2k}, \tag{125a}$$

$$v_{k+1,l} - v_{k,l} = \xi_{2k+1} W_{k,l}. \tag{125b}$$

Defining $\xi_{2k} = a_k - a_{k-1}$ equation (125a) is solved by:

$$W_{k,l} = a_k + \beta_l. \tag{126}$$

Introducing (126) into equation (125b) we have:

$$v_{k+1,l} - v_{k,l} = \xi_{2k+1}\,(a_k + \alpha_l)\,. \tag{127}$$

Equation (127) becomes a total difference if:

$$\xi_{2k+1} = b_{k+1} - b_k, \quad a_k = \frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k}. \tag{128}$$

This yields the following solution of the system (124):

$$v_{k,l} = c_k + b_k \alpha_l + \beta_l, \tag{129a}$$

$$w_{k,l} = -\delta_2 + \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k + \alpha_l \left(b_{k+1} - b_k\right)}. \tag{129b}$$

Case $m = 2k + 1$: If $m = 2k + 1$ the first integral (122) becomes the following nonlinear, three-point, second order difference equation:

$$F_n^{(+)} \frac{u_{n+1,2l+1} - u_{n-1,2l+1}}{\left(\delta_2 + 1\right) u_{n,2l+1}} - F_n^{(-)} \left(u_{n+1,2l+1} - u_{n-1,2l+1}\right) = \xi_n, \tag{130}$$

where without loss of generality $\alpha = \beta = 1$. As usual we can separate the even and odd part in n using the transformation (17b). This transformation brings equation (130) into the following linear system:

$$z_{k,l} - z_{k-1,l} = (\delta_2 + 1) y_{k,l} \left(\frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} - \frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k} \right), \tag{131a}$$

$$y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l} = -b_{k+1} + b_k, \tag{131b}$$

where we used (128) and the definition $\xi_{2k+1} = a_{k+1} - a_k$. Equation (131b) is readily solved and gives:

$$y_{k,l} = \gamma_l - b_k. \tag{132}$$

Inserting (132) into (131a) we obtain:

$$z_{k,l} - z_{k-1,l} = \left(\delta_2 + 1\right) \left(\gamma_l - b_k\right) \left(\frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} - \frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k} \right). \tag{133}$$

We can then write for $z_{k,l}$ the following expression:

$$z_{k,l} = -(\delta_2 + 1) \left(\gamma_l \frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k} + d_k \right) + \delta_l, \tag{134}$$

where d_k solves the equation:

$$d_k - d_{k-1} = -b_k \left(\frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{b_k - b_{k-1}} - \frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k} \right). \tag{135}$$

Equation (135) is a total difference with d_k given by:

$$d_k = b_{k+1} \frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k} - c_{k+1}. \tag{136}$$

Therefore we have the following solution to the system (131):

$$y_{k,l} = \gamma_l - b_k, \tag{137a}$$

$$z_{k,l} = -(\delta_2 + 1) \left[\left(\gamma_l + b_{k+1}\right) \frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k} - c_{k+1} \right] + \delta_l. \tag{137b}$$

Equations (129,137) provide the value of the four fields, but we have too many arbitrary functions in the m direction, namely α_l, β_l, γ_l and δ_l. Inserting

(129,137) into (10c) with δ_1 given by (46) and separating the terms even and odd in n and m we obtain we obtain two equations:

$$\gamma_l(\delta_2+1)\alpha_l - \lambda + \delta_l + \beta_l(\delta_2+1) = 0, \tag{138a}$$

$$\gamma_l(\delta_2+1)\alpha_{l+1} - \lambda + \delta_l + \beta_{l+1}(\delta_2+1) = 0. \tag{138b}$$

Solving this compatibility condition with respect to γ_l and δ_l we obtain:

$$\gamma_l = -\frac{\beta_{l+1}-\beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1}-\alpha_l}, \tag{139a}$$

$$\delta_l = (\delta_2+1)\,\frac{\beta_{l+1}\alpha_l - \alpha_{l+1}\beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1}-\alpha_l} + \lambda. \tag{139b}$$

Inserting then (139) into (129,137) we obtain the following expression for the solution of the ${}_3D_2$ when δ_1 is given by (46):

$$v_{k,l} = c_k + b_k\alpha_l + \beta_l, \tag{140a}$$

$$w_{k,l} = -\delta_2 + \frac{b_{k+1}-b_k}{c_{k+1}-c_k+\alpha_l\,(b_{k+1}-b_k)}, \tag{140b}$$

$$y_{k,l} = -\frac{\beta_{l+1}-\beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1}-\alpha_l} - b_k, \tag{140c}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_{k,l} &= -(\delta_2+1)\left[\left(b_{k+1} - \frac{\beta_{l+1}-\beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1}-\alpha_l}\right)\frac{c_{k+1}-c_k}{b_{k+1}-b_k} - c_{k+1}\right] \\ &\quad + (\delta_2+1)\,\frac{\beta_{l+1}\alpha_l - \alpha_{l+1}\beta_l}{\alpha_{l+1}-\alpha_l} + \lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{140d}$$

Case $\delta_1 = 0$: The first integral (105) is non-singular when $\delta_1 = 0$. Therefore the procedure of solution becomes different only when we arrive to the systems of ordinary difference equations (107) and (115). So we present the solution of the systems in this case.

Case $m = 2k$: If $\delta_1 = 0$ the system (107) becomes:

$$w_{k,l} + \delta_2 = \frac{w_{k-1,l}+\delta_2}{\xi_{2k}}, \tag{141a}$$

$$v_{k+1,l} - v_{k,l} = \frac{\xi_{2k+1}}{(\delta_2 + w_{k,l})}. \tag{141b}$$

The system (141) is nonlinear, but equation (141a) is uncoupled from equation (141a). Defining $\xi_{2k} = a_{k-1}/a_k$ equation (141a) is solved by

$$w_{k,l} = -\delta_2 + a_k\alpha_l. \tag{142}$$

Substituting $w_{k,l}$ given by (142) into equation (141b) we obtain:

$$v_{k+1,l} - v_{k,l} = \frac{\xi_{2k+1}}{a_k\alpha_l}. \tag{143}$$

Defining:

$$\xi_{2k+1} = -a_k\,(b_{k+1} - b_k)\,, \tag{144}$$

we have that equation (143) is a total difference. Therefore we have the following solution of the system (141):

$$v_{k,l} = \beta_l + \frac{b_k}{\alpha_l}, \tag{145a}$$

$$w_{k,l} = -\delta_2 + a_k \alpha_l. \tag{145b}$$

Case $m = 2k + 1$**:** If $\delta_1 = 0$ the system (35) becomes:

$$z_{k,l} - \frac{a_k}{a_{k-1}} z_{k-1,l} = \left(\frac{a_k}{a_{k-1}} - 1 \right) y_{k,l}, \tag{146a}$$

$$y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l} = -a_k \left(b_{k+1} - b_k \right), \tag{146b}$$

where we used (144) and $\xi_{2k} = a_{k-1}/a_k$. The system is linear and equation (146b) is uncoupled from (146a). If we put

$$a_k = -\frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k}, \tag{147}$$

then equation (146a) becomes a total difference whose solution is:

$$y_{k,l} = c_k + \gamma_l. \tag{148}$$

Substituting $y_{k,l}$ given by (148) into equation (146a) we obtain:

$$\frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k} z_{k,l} - \frac{b_k - b_{k-1}}{c_k - c_{k-1}} z_{k-1,l} = \left(\frac{b_k - b_{k-1}}{c_k - c_{k-1}} - \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k} \right) (c_k + \gamma_l). \tag{149}$$

We can therefore represent the solution as

$$z_{k,l} = \frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k} (d_k + \delta_l) - \gamma_l \tag{150}$$

where d_k solves the equation:

$$d_k - d_{k-1} = b_{k+1} - \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k} c_{k+1} - b_{k-1} + \frac{b_k - b_{k=1}}{c_k - c_{k-1}} c_{k-1}. \tag{151}$$

Equation (151) is a total difference and d_k is given by:

$$d_k = b_k - \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k} c_k. \tag{152}$$

Therefore we have that the solution of the system (146) is given by:

$$y_{k,l} = c_k + \gamma_l, \tag{153a}$$

$$z_{k,l} = \frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k} \delta_l - \gamma_l + \frac{b_k c_{k+1} - c_k b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1} - b_k}. \tag{153b}$$

Equations (145,153) we have the value of the four fields, but we have too many arbitrary functions in the m direction, namely α_l, β_l, γ_l and δ_l. Inserting (145,153) into (10c) with $\delta_1 = 0$ and separating the terms even and odd in n and m we obtain we obtain two equations:

$$\beta_l \alpha_l - \delta_l = 0, \quad \beta_{l+1}\alpha_{l+1} - \delta_l = 0. \tag{154a}$$

We can solve this compatibility conditions with respect to β_l and δ_l we obtain:

$$\beta_l = \frac{\delta_0}{\alpha_l}, \quad \delta_l = \delta_0, \tag{155a}$$

where δ_0 is a constant. Inserting then (155) into (145,153) we obtain the following expression for the solution of the ${}_3D_2$ when $\delta_1 = 0$:

$$v_{k,l} = \frac{b_k + \delta_0}{\alpha_l}, \tag{156a}$$

$$w_{k,l} = -\delta_2 - \alpha_l \frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k}, \tag{156b}$$

$$y_{k,l} = c_k + \gamma_l, \tag{156c}$$

$$z_{k,l} = \frac{c_{k+1} - c_k}{b_{k+1} - b_k}\delta_0 - \gamma_l + \frac{b_k c_{k+1} - c_k b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1} - b_k}. \tag{156d}$$

This discussion exhausts the possible cases. So for any value of the parameters we have the general solution of the ${}_3D_2$ equation (10c).

3 The D_3 and the ${}_iD_4$ equations, $i = 1, 2$

In this section we treat the problem of finding the general solution of the D_3 equation and of the two forms of the D_4 equation, which we denote collectively as ${}_iD_4$ with $i = 1, 2$. These equations are given by (10d), (10e) and (10f). The procedure we will follow will make use of the first integrals, but in a different way with respect to that used in Section 2 for the ${}_iD_2$ equations. As explained in the Introduction, we will need some information from the equations themselves.

3.1 D_3 equation

From [22] we know that in the case of the D_3 equation (10d) possesses the following W_1 four-point, third order integral:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 \; &= F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m})\,(u_{n+2,m} - u_{n,m})}{u_{n+1,m}^2 - u_{n,m}} \\ &+ F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \alpha \frac{(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m})\left(u_{n+2,m-u_{n,m}}\right)}{u_{n,m} + u_{n-1,m}} \\ &- F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \beta \frac{(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m})\,(u_{n+2,m} - u_{n,m})}{u_{n+1,m} - u_{n,m}^2} \\ &+ F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m})\,(u_{n+2,m} - u_{n,m})}{u_{n+1,m} + u_{n+2,m}}. \end{aligned} \tag{157}$$

To tackle the problem of finding the general solution we do not start directly from the first integral W_1 (157), but instead we start by looking to the D_3 equation (10d) itself. Applying the general transformation (17) we obtain:

$$v_{k,l} + w_{k,l}y_{k,l} + w_{k,l}z_{k,l} + y_{k,l}z_{k,l} = 0, \tag{158a}$$
$$v_{k,l+1} + y_{k,l}w_{k,l+1} + z_{k,l}w_{k,l+1} + y_{k,l}z_{k,l} = 0, \tag{158b}$$
$$v_{k+1,l} + w_{k,l}y_{k+1,l} + w_{k,l}z_{k,l} + z_{k,l}y_{k+1,l} = 0, \tag{158c}$$
$$v_{k+1,l+1} + y_{k+1,l}w_{k,l+1} + z_{k,l}w_{k,l+1} + z_{k,l}y_{k+1,l} = 0. \tag{158d}$$

From the system (158) we have four different way for calculating $z_{k,l}$. This means that we have some compatibility conditions. Indeed from (158a) and (158c) we obtain the following equation for $v_{k+1,l}$:

$$v_{k+1,l} = \frac{(w_{k,l} + y_{k+1,l})v_{k,l}}{w_{k,l} + y_{k,l}} + \frac{(y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l})w_{k,l}^2}{w_{k,l} + y_{k,l}}, \tag{159}$$

while from (158b) and (158d) we obtain the following equation for $v_{k+1,l+1}$:

$$v_{k+1,l+1} = \frac{(w_{k,l+1} + y_{k+1,l})v_{k,l+1}}{w_{k,l+1} + y_{k,l}} + \frac{(y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l})w_{k,l+1}^2}{w_{k,l+1} + y_{k,l}}. \tag{160}$$

Equations (159) and (160) give rise to a compatibility condition between $v_{k+1,l}$ and its shift in the l direction $v_{k+1,l+1}$ which is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{k,l}w_{k,l+1} + y_{k+1,l+1}w_{k,l+1} + y_{k+1,l+1}y_{k,l} \\ - y_{k,l+1}w_{k,l+1} - y_{k+1,l}w_{k,l+1} - y_{k+1,l}y_{k,l+1} \end{pmatrix} (v_{k,l+1} - w_{k,l+1}^2) = 0. \tag{161}$$

Discarding the trivial solution $v_{k,l} = w_{k,l}^2$ we obtain the following value for the field $w_{k,l}$:

$$w_{k,l} = -\frac{y_{k+1,l}y_{k,l-1} - y_{k+1,l-1}y_{k,l}}{y_{k+1,l} + y_{k,l-1} - y_{k+1,l-1} - y_{k,l}}, \tag{162}$$

which makes (159) and (160) compatible. Then we have to solve the equation for $v_{k,l+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{k+1,l} = {} & \frac{y_{k+1,l} - y_{k+1,l-1}}{y_{k,l} - y_{k,l-1}} v_{k,l} \\ & + \frac{(y_{k+1,l-1}y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l}y_{k,l-1})^2}{(y_{k+1,l-1} + y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l-1})(y_{k,l} - y_{k,l-1})}. \end{aligned} \tag{163}$$

Making the transformation

$$v_{k,l} = (y_{k,l} - y_{k,l-1})\, V_{k,l} + y_{k,l-1}^2 \tag{164}$$

we can simplify (163) to the equation:

$$V_{k+1,l} = V_{k,l} + \frac{(y_{k,l-1} - y_{k+1,l-1})^2}{y_{k+1,l-1} + y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l-1}}. \tag{165}$$

To go further we need to specify the form of the field $y_{k,l}$. This can be obtained from the first integral (157). Consider the equation $W_1 = \xi_n$ with W_1

given as in (157). This relation defines a third order, four-point ordinary difference equation in the n direction depending parametrically on m. In particular if we choose the case when $m = 2l + 1$ we have the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &F_n^{(+)} \frac{(u_{n+1,2l+1} - u_{n-1,2l+1})\,(u_{n+2,2l+1} - u_{n,2l+1})}{u_{n,2l+1} + u_{n-1,2l+1}} \\ &+ F_n^{(-)} \frac{(u_{n+1,2l+1} - u_{n-1,2l+1})\,(u_{n+2,2l+1} - u_{n,2l+1})}{u_{n+1,2l+1} + u_{n+2,2l+1}} = \xi_n, \end{aligned} \tag{166}$$

where we have taken without loss of generality $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Using the transformation (17b) equation (166) is converted into the system:

$$(y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l})(z_{k,l} - z_{k-1,l}) = \xi_{2k}(y_{k,l} + z_{k-1,l}), \tag{167a}$$

$$(y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l})(z_{k+1,l} - z_{k,l}) = \xi_{2k+1}(y_{k+1,l} + z_{k+1,l}). \tag{167b}$$

This system is non-linear, but if we solve (167b) with respect to $z_{k+1,l}$ and we substitute it along with its shift in the k direction into (167a) we obtain a *linear second order, ordinary difference equation* involving *only* the field $y_{k,l}$:

$$\xi_{2k-1} y_{k+1,m} - (\xi_{2k} + \xi_{2k-1})\, y_{k,m} + \xi_{2k} y_{k-1,m} + \xi_{2k}\xi_{2k-1} = 0. \tag{168}$$

We can lower the order of equation (168) by one using the potential transformation:

$$Y_{k,l} = y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l}. \tag{169}$$

Then $Y_{k,l}$ solves the equation:

$$Y_{k,l} - \frac{\xi_{2k}}{\xi_{2k-1}} Y_{k-1,l} + \xi_{2k} = 0. \tag{170}$$

Imposing that:

$$\xi_{2k} = -a_k\,(b_k - b_{k-1})\,, \quad \xi_{2k-1} = -a_{k-1}\,(b_k - b_{k-1}) \tag{171}$$

we obtain that $Y_{k,l}$ can be expressed as:

$$Y_{k,l} = a_k\,(b_k + \alpha_l)\,. \tag{172}$$

From (169) we have that:

$$y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l} = a_k\,(b_k + \alpha_l)\,. \tag{173}$$

Setting:

$$a_k = c_{k+1} - c_k, \quad b_k = \frac{d_{k+1} - d_k}{c_{k+1} - c_k}, \tag{174}$$

we have that the solution of is given by:

$$y_{k,l} = \alpha_l c_k + d_k + \beta_l. \tag{175}$$

Inserting now the obtained value of $y_{k,l}$ from (175) into the equation (165) we obtain:

$$V_{k+1,l} = V_{k,l} - \frac{(d_k + \alpha_{l-1}c_k - d_{k+1} - \alpha_{l-1}c_{k+1})^2}{(\alpha_l - \alpha_{l-1})(c_{k+1} - c_k)}. \tag{176}$$

This means that we can write down the following solution for $V_{k,l}$

$$V_{k,l} = \gamma_l - \alpha_{l-1}\frac{\alpha_{l-1}c_k + 2d_k}{\alpha_l - \alpha_{l-1}} + \frac{e_k}{\alpha_l - \alpha_{l-1}}, \tag{177}$$

up to a discrete integration for the function e_k:

$$e_{k+1} = e_k - \frac{(d_{k+1} - d_k)^2}{c_{k+1} - c_k}. \tag{178}$$

Plugging the obtained value of $v_{k,l}$ we can compute $w_{k,l}$ from (162). Finally we can compute $z_{k,l}$ from the original system (158) and we obtain a *single* compatibility condition given by:

$$(\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l)\,\gamma_{l+1} - (\alpha_l - \alpha_{l-1})\,\gamma_l - \alpha_{l-1}\beta_{l-1} + \alpha_l\beta_l + \alpha_l\beta_{l-1} - \alpha_{l-1}\beta_l = 0. \tag{179}$$

Alternatively this condition can be expressed as

$$\gamma_l = -\frac{\alpha_{l-1}\beta_{l-1} + \delta_l}{\alpha_l - \alpha_{l-1}} \tag{180}$$

with δ_l given by the following discrete integration:

$$\delta_{l+1} = \delta_l + \alpha_l\beta_{l-1} - \alpha_{l-1}\beta_l. \tag{181}$$

So, the auxiliary function $V_{k,l}$ is given by (177–181), where e_k and δ_l are defined implicitly and can be found by discrete integration. Then the general solution of the D_3 equation (10d) is constructed by successive substitution in (175), (164), (162) and (158a).

3.2 ${}_1D_4$ equation

From [22] we know that in the case of the ${}_1D_4$ equation (10e) we have the following four-point, third order W_1 integral:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 \;=\; & F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(+)}\alpha\frac{u_{n+1,m}^2\delta_1 + u_{n+1,m}u_{n+2,m} + u_{n-1,m}\,(u_{n,m} - u_{n+2,m}) - \delta_2\delta_3}{u_{n+1,m}\,(\delta_1 + u_{n,m}) - \delta_2\delta_3} \\ & + F_n^{(+)}F_m^{(-)}\alpha\frac{(u_{n,m} - u_{n+2,m} + \delta_1 u_{n+1,m})\,u_{n-1,m} + u_{n+1,m}u_{n+2,m}}{(u_{n,m} + \delta_1 u_{n-1,m})\,u_{n+1,m}} \\ & + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(+)}\beta\frac{(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m})\,(u_{n+2,m} - u_{n,m})}{u_{n,m}^2\delta_1 + u_{n+1,m}u_{n,m} - \delta_2\delta_3} \\ & + F_n^{(-)}F_m^{(-)}\beta\frac{(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m})\,(u_{n+2,m} - u_{n,m})}{u_{n,m}\,(u_{n+2,m}\delta_1 + u_{n+1,m})}, \end{aligned} \tag{182}$$

To tackle the problem of finding the general solution we do not start directly from the first integral W_1 (182), but instead we start by looking to the ${}_1D_4$ equation (10e) itself. Applying the general transformation (17) we obtain:

$$v_{k,l}z_{k,l} + w_{k,l}y_{k,l} + \delta_1 w_{k,l}z_{k,l} + \delta_2 y_{k,l}z_{k,l} + \delta_3 = 0, \tag{183a}$$

$$y_{k,l}w_{k,l+1} + z_{k,l}v_{k,l+1} + \delta_1 z_{k,l}w_{k,l+1} + \delta_2 y_{k,l}z_{k,l} + \delta_3 = 0, \tag{183b}$$

$$w_{k,l}y_{k+1,l} + v_{k+1,l}z_{k,l} + \delta_1 w_{k,l}z_{k,l} + \delta_2 z_{k,l}y_{k+1,l} + \delta_3 = 0, \tag{183c}$$
$$z_{k,l}v_{k+1,l+1} + y_{k+1,l}w_{k,l+1} + \delta_1 z_{k,l}w_{k,l+1} + \delta_2 z_{k,l}y_{k+1,l} + \delta_3 = 0. \tag{183d}$$

From the system (183) we have four different way for calculating $z_{k,l}$. This means that we have some compatibility conditions. Indeed from (183a) and (183c) we obtain the following equation for $v_{k+1,l}$:

$$v_{k+1,l} = \frac{\delta_3 + w_{k,l}y_{k+1,l}}{\delta_3 + w_{k,l}y_{k,l}}v_{k,l} + \frac{(y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l})(\delta_1 w_{k,l}^2 - \delta_2\delta_3)}{\delta_3 + w_{k,l}y_{k,l}}, \tag{184}$$

while from (183b) and (183d) we obtain the following equation for $v_{k+1,l+1}$:

$$v_{k+1,l+1} = \frac{\delta_3 + y_{k+1,l}w_{k,l+1}}{\delta_3 + y_{k,l}w_{k,l+1}}v_{k,l+1} + \frac{(y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l})(\delta_1 w_{k,l+1}^2 - \delta_2\delta_3}{(y_{k,l}w_{k,l+1} + \delta_3}. \tag{185}$$

Equations (184) and (185) give rise to a compatibility condition between $v_{k+1,l}$ and its shift in the l direction $v_{k+1,l+1}$ which is given by:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c}(y_{k+1,l+1}y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l}y_{k,l+1})\,w_{k,l+1}\\ +\,\delta_3\,(y_{k+1,l+1} + y_{k,l} - y_{k,l+1} - y_{k+1,l})\end{array}\right](v_{k,l+1}w_{k,l+1} - \delta_2\delta_3 + \delta_1 w_{k,l+1}^2) = 0. \tag{186}$$

Discarding the trivial solution

$$v_{k,l} = -\delta_1 w_{k,l} + \frac{\delta_2\delta_3}{w_{k,l}} \tag{187}$$

we obtain the following value for the field $w_{k,l}$:

$$w_{k,l} = \delta_3\frac{y_{k+1,l-1} - y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l-1} + y_{k,l}}{y_{k+1,l}y_{k,l-1} - y_{k+1,l-1}y_{k,l}} \tag{188}$$

which makes (184) and (185) compatible. Then we have to solve the following equation for $v_{k,l}$:

$$\begin{aligned}v_{k+1,l} = \frac{y_{k+1,l} - y_{k+1,l-1}}{y_{k,l} - y_{k,l-1}}v_{k,l} + \frac{\delta_1\delta_3(y_{k+1,l-1} - y_{k,l-1} - y_{k+1,l} + y_{k,l})^2}{(y_{k+1,l-1}y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l}y_{k,l-1})(y_{k,l} - y_{k,l-1})}\\ - \frac{\delta_2(y_{k+1,l-1}y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l}y_{k,l-1})}{y_{k,l} - y_{k,l-1}}\end{aligned} \tag{189}$$

Making the transformation:

$$v_{k,l} = (y_{k,l} - y_{k,l-1})\,V_{k,l} + \frac{\delta_1\delta_3}{y_{k,l-1}} - \delta_2 y_{k,l-1}. \tag{190}$$

we obtain that $V_{k,l}$ satisfied the difference equation:

$$V_{k+1,l} = V_{k,l} + \frac{\delta_1\delta_3(y_{k,l-1} - y_{k+1,l-1})^2}{y_{k,l-1}y_{k+1,l-1}(y_{k+1,l-1}y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l}y_{k,l-1})} \tag{191}$$

To go further we need to specify the form of the field $y_{k,l}$. However as in the case of the D_3 equation (10d) we can recover the missing information using

the first integral W_1 as given in (182). This first integral defines as always the relation $W_1 = \xi_n$ which is a third order, four-point ordinary difference equation in the n direction depending parametrically on m. In particular when $m = 2l+1$ we have the equation:

$$F_n^{(+)} \frac{\left(u_{n,2l+1} - u_{n+2,2l+1} + \delta_1 u_{n+1,2l+1}\right) u_{n-1,2l+1} + u_{n+1,2l+1} u_{n+2,2l+1}}{\left(u_{n,2l+1} + \delta_1 u_{n-1,2l+1}\right) u_{n+1,2l+1}}$$
$$+ F_n^{(-)} \frac{\left(u_{n+1,2l+1} - u_{n-1,2l+1}\right)\left(u_{n+2,2l+1} - u_{n,2l+1}\right)}{u_{n,2l+1}\left(u_{n+2,2l+1}\delta_1 + u_{n+1,2l+1}\right)} = \xi_n. \tag{192}$$

where we have chosen without loss of generality $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Using the transformation (17b) then (192) is converted into the system:

$$(y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l} + \delta_1 z_{k,l}) z_{k-1,l} + y_{k+1,l} z_{k,l} = \xi_{2k}(y_{k,l} + \delta_1 z_{k-1,l}) z_{k,l}, \tag{193a}$$
$$(y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l})(z_{k,l} - z_{k+1,l}) = \xi_{2k+1} z_{k,l}(z_{k+1,l}\delta_1 + y_{k+1,l}). \tag{193b}$$

If we solve (193b) with respect to $z_{k+1,l}$ and then substitute into (193a) we obtain a *linear*, second order ordinary difference equation for $y_{k,l}$:

$$\xi_{2k-1} y_{k+1,l} + (1 - \xi_{2k} - \xi_{2k}\xi_{2k-1}) y_{k,l} - (1 - \xi_{2k}) y_{k-1,l} = 0. \tag{194}$$

We can find the solution to this equation in a similar manner than in the case of the D_3 equation. First of all let us consider $Y_{k,l} = a_k y_{k,l} + b_k y_{k-1,l}$ such that $Y_{k+1,l} - Y_{k,l}$ is equal to the left hand side of (194). To this end we define:

$$\xi_{2k} = -\frac{b_{k+1} - b_k - a_k}{a_{k+1}}, \quad \xi_{2k-1} = \frac{a_{k+1} - a_k + b_{k+1} - b_k}{b_k}. \tag{195}$$

Therefore $y_{k,l}$ must solve the following equation:

$$a_k y_{k,l} + b_k y_{k-1,l} = \alpha_l. \tag{196}$$

Equation (196) is reduced to a total difference if we impose:

$$a_k = \frac{1}{c_k}\frac{1}{d_k - d_{k-1}}, \quad b_k = -\frac{1}{c_{k-1}}\frac{1}{d_k - d_{k-1}}. \tag{197}$$

Then the solution of (196) is then given by:

$$y_{k,l} = c_k \left(\alpha_l d_k + \beta_l\right). \tag{198}$$

Inserting (198) into (191) we obtain:

$$V_{k+1,l} = V_{k,l} + \frac{\delta_1\delta_3\alpha_{l-1}}{\beta_{l-1}\alpha_l - \beta_l\alpha_{l-1}}\left[\frac{1}{(\alpha_{l-1}d_{k+1} + \beta_{l-1})c_{k+1}^2} - \frac{1}{(\alpha_{l-1}d_k + \beta_{l-1})c_k^2}\right]$$
$$- \frac{\delta_1\delta_3}{\beta_{l-1}\alpha_l - \beta_l\alpha_{l-1}}\frac{(c_k - c_{k+1})^2}{c_k^2 c_{k+1}^2 (d_{k+1} - d_k)}. \tag{199}$$

This means that the solution of $V_{k,l}$ can can be represented as:

$$V_{k,l} = \gamma_l + \frac{\delta_1\delta_3}{\beta_{l-1}\alpha_l - \beta_l\alpha_{l-1}}\left[\frac{\alpha_{l-1}}{c_k^2(\alpha_{l-1}d_k + \beta_{l-1})} + e_k\right] \tag{200}$$

where e_k is defined by the discrete integration:

$$e_{k+1} = e_k - \frac{(c_k - c_{k+1})^2}{c_k^2 c_{k+1}^2 (d_{k+1} - d_k)}. \tag{201}$$

From the obtained value of $v_{k,l}$ we can compute $w_{k,l}$ using (188). So finally we can compute $z_{k,l}$ from the original system (183), and we obtain a *single* compatibility condition given by:

$$(\beta_l \alpha_{l+1} - \beta_{l+1}\alpha_l)\,\gamma_{l+1} - (\beta_{l-1}\alpha_l - \beta_l \alpha_{l-1})\,\gamma_l = (\beta_{l-1}\alpha_l - \beta_l \alpha_{l-1})\,\delta_2. \tag{202}$$

Alternatively this condition can be expressed as

$$\gamma_l = \frac{\delta_l \delta_2}{\beta_{l-1}\alpha_l - \beta_l \alpha_{l-1}}. \tag{203}$$

with δ_l given by the following discrete integration:

$$\delta_{l+1} = \delta_l + \alpha_l \beta_{l-1} - \alpha_{l-1}\beta_l. \tag{204}$$

Note that (204) is exactly the same as (181).

So, the auxiliary function $V_{k,l}$ is given by (200–204), where e_k and δ_l are defined implicitly and can be found by discrete integration. Then the general solution of the ${}_1D_4$ equation (10e) is constructed by successive substitution in (198), (190), (188) and (183a).

3.3 ${}_2D_4$ equation

From [22] we know that in the case of the ${}_2D_4$ equation (10f) we have the following four-point, third-order W_1 first integral:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 \;=\; & F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(+)} \alpha \frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}(u_{n,m} - u_{n+2,m} - \delta_1\delta_2 u_{n-1,m})\, u_{n+1,m}^2 \\ +u_{n+1,m}u_{n+2,m}u_{n-1,m} + \delta_3 u_{n-1,m}\end{array}\right]}{\left(\delta_2 u_{n+1,m}^2 \delta_1 - \delta_3 - u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m}\right) u_{n-1,m}} \\ & - F_n^{(+)} F_m^{(-)} \alpha \frac{u_{n+2,m}u_{n-1,m} + (-u_{n+2,m} + u_{n,m})\, u_{n+1,m} + \delta_3}{u_{n-1,m}u_{n,m} + \delta_3} \\ & - F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(+)} \beta \frac{(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m})\,(u_{n+2,m} - u_{n,m})\, u_{n,m}}{u_{n+2,m}\,(\delta_2\delta_1 {u_{n,m}}^2 - u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m} - \delta_3)} \\ & + F_n^{(-)} F_m^{(-)} \beta \frac{(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m})\,(u_{n+2,m} - u_{n,m})}{u_{n+1,m}u_{n+2,m} + \delta_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{205}$$

To find the general solution we do not start directly from the first integral W_1 (205), but instead we start by looking to the ${}_2D_4$ equation (10f) itself. Applying the general transformation (17) we obtain the following system:

$$v_{k,l}w_{k,l} + \delta_2 w_{k,l}y_{k,l} + \delta_1 w_{k,l}z_{k,l} + y_{k,l}z_{k,l} + \delta_3 = 0, \tag{206a}$$

$$v_{k,l+1}w_{k,l+1} + \delta_2 y_{k,l}w_{k,l+1} + \delta_1 z_{k,l}w_{k,l+1} + y_{k,l}z_{k,l} + \delta_3 = 0, \tag{206b}$$

$$w_{k,l}v_{k+1,l} + \delta_2 w_{k,l}y_{k+1,l} + \delta_1 w_{k,l}z_{k,l} + z_{k,l}y_{k+1,l} + \delta_3 = 0, \tag{206c}$$

$$w_{k,l+1}v_{k+1,l+1} + \delta_2 y_{k+1,l}w_{k,l+1} + \delta_1 z_{k,l}w_{k,l+1} + z_{k,l}y_{k+1,l} + \delta_3 = 0. \tag{206d}$$

From the system (206) we have four different ways to compute $z_{k,l}$. This means that we have some compatibility conditions. Indeed from (206a) and (206c) we obtain the following equation for $v_{k+1,l}$:

$$v_{k+1,l} = \frac{\delta_1 w_{k,l} + y_{k+1,l}}{\delta_1 w_{k,l} + y_{k,l}} v_{k,l} - \frac{(y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l})(\delta_2 w_{k,l}^2 \delta_1 - \delta_3)}{(\delta_1 w_{k,l} + y_{k,l}) w_{k,l}}, \tag{207}$$

while from (206b) and (206d) we obtain the following equation for $v_{k+1,l+1}$:

$$v_{k+1,l+1} = \frac{\delta_1 w_{k,l+1} + y_{k+1,l}}{\delta_1 w_{k,l+1} + y_{k,l}} v_{k,l+1} - \frac{(y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l})(\delta_2 w_{k,l+1}^2 \delta_1 - \delta_3)}{(\delta_1 w_{k,l+1} + y_{k,l}) w_{k,l+1}}. \tag{208}$$

Equations (207) and (208) give rise to a compatibility condition between $v_{k+1,l}$ and its shift in the l direction $v_{k+1,l+1}$ which is given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{k+1,l+1} y_{k,l} + \delta_1 \left(y_{k,l} w_{k,l+1} + y_{k+1,l+1} w_{k,l+1} \right) \\ - y_{k+1,l} y_{k,l+1} - \delta_1 \left(y_{k+1,l} w_{k,l+1} - y_{k,l+1} w_{k,l+1} \right) \end{bmatrix} \left(v_{k,l+1} w_{k,l+1} + \delta_3 - \delta_1 \delta_2 w_{k,l+1}^2 \right) = 0. \tag{209}$$

Discarding the trivial solution

$$v_{k,l} = \delta_1 \delta_2 w_{k,l} - \frac{\delta_3}{w_{k,l}} \tag{210}$$

we obtain the following value for the field $w_{k,l}$:

$$w_{k,l} = \frac{1}{\delta_1} \frac{y_{k+1,l-1} y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l} y_{k,l-1}}{y_{k,l-1} + y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l-1}}, \tag{211}$$

which makes (207) and (208) compatible. Then we have to solve the following equation for $v_{k,l}$:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{k+1,l} = {} & \frac{y_{k+1,l} - y_{k+1,l-1}}{y_{k,l} - y_{k,l-1}} v_{k,l} - \frac{\delta_1 \delta_3 (y_{k,l-1} - y_{k+1,l-1})^2 (y_{k,l} - y_{k,l-1})}{(y_{k+1,l} y_{k,l-1} - y_{k+1,l-1} y_{k,l}) y_{k,l-1}^2} \\ & + \frac{y_{k,l-1}^2 y_{k+1,l} \delta_2 - \delta_1 \delta_3 y_{k+1,l} + \delta_1 \delta_3 y_{k+1,l-1} - \delta_2 y_{k+1,l-1} y_{k,l-1}^2}{(y_{k,l} - y_{k,l-1}) y_{k,l-1}} \\ & - \frac{\delta_2 y_{k+1,l-1} y_{k,l-1}^2 + \delta_1 \delta_3 y_{k+1,l-1} - 2\delta_3 \delta_1 y_{k,l-1}}{y_{k,l-1}^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{212}$$

Making the transformation:

$$v_{k,l} = (y_{k,l} - y_{k,l-1}) V_{k,l} + \frac{\delta_1 \delta_3}{y_{k,l}} - \delta_2 y_{k,l}. \tag{213}$$

we obtain that $V_{k,l}$ satisfied the difference equation:

$$V_{k+1,l} = V_{k,l} - \frac{\delta_1 \delta_3 (y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l})^2}{y_{k,l} y_{k+1,l} (y_{k+1,l-1} y_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l} y_{k,l-1})}. \tag{214}$$

To go further we need to specify the form of the field $y_{k,l}$. However as in the previous two cases we can recover the missing information using the first

integral W_1 (205). This first integral implies the relation $W_1 = \xi_n$ which is a third order, four-point ordinary difference equation in the n direction depending parametrically on m. In particular if we choose the case when $m = 2l + 1$ we have the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &- F_n^{(+)} \frac{u_{n+2,2l+1}u_{n-1,2l+1} - (u_{n+2,2l+1} - u_{n,2l+1})\, u_{n+1,2l+1} + \delta_3}{u_{n-1,2l+1}u_{n,2l+1} + \delta_3} \\ &+ F_n^{(-)} \frac{(u_{n+1,2l+1} - u_{n-1,2l+1})\,(u_{n+2,2l+1} - u_{n,2l+1})}{u_{n+1,2l+1}u_{n+2,2l+1} + \delta_3} = \xi_n. \end{aligned} \tag{215}$$

where we have chosen without loss of generality $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Using the transformation (17b) then equation (215) is converted into the system:

$$(y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l})z_{k,l} - y_{k+1,l}z_{k+1,l} - \delta_3 = \xi_{2k}(z_{k+1,l}y_{k,l} + \delta_3), \tag{216a}$$

$$(y_{k+1,l} - y_{k,l})(z_{k+1,l} - z_{k,l}) = \xi_{2k+1}(y_{k+1,l}z_{k+1,l} + \delta_3). \tag{216b}$$

If we solve (216b) with respect to $z_{k+1,l}$ and substitute into (216a) we obtain a *linear*, second order ordinary difference equation for $y_{k,l}$:

$$\xi_{2k-1}y_{k+1,l} - (1 + \xi_{2k} - \xi_{2k}\xi_{2k-1})y_{k,l} + (1 + \xi_{2k})y_{k-1,l} = 0. \tag{217}$$

We can find the solution of equation (217) exploiting the arbitrariness of the functions ξ_{2k} and ξ_{2k+1} as in the case of the ${}_1D_4$ equation (10e). Let us introduce the field $Y_{k,l} = a_k y_{k,l} + b_k y_{k-1,l}$ and assume that $Y_{k+1,l} - Y_{k,l}$ equals the left hand side of (217). Then we must have:

$$\xi_{2k} = \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k + -a_k}{a_{k+1}}, \quad \xi_{2k-1} = -\frac{b_{k+1} - b_k + a_{k+1} - a_k}{b_k}. \tag{218}$$

This implies that $y_{k,l}$ will solve the equation

$$a_k y_{k,l} + b_k y_{k-1,l} = \alpha_l. \tag{219}$$

If we define

$$a_k = \frac{1}{c_k}\frac{1}{d_k - d_{k-1}}, \quad b_k = -\frac{1}{c_{k-1}}\frac{1}{d_k - d_{k-1}}, \tag{220}$$

equation (219) is solved by:

$$y_{k,l} = c_k\left(\alpha_l d_k + \beta_l\right). \tag{221}$$

Inserting the value of $y_{k,l}$ from (221) into equation (214) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{k+1,l} = V_{k,l} &+ \frac{\delta_3\delta_1(c_{k+1} - c_k)^2}{(d_{k+1} - d_k)(\beta_l\alpha_{l-1} - \beta_{l-1}\alpha_l)c_k^2c_{k+1}^2} \\ &- \frac{\alpha_l\delta_1\delta_3}{\beta_l\alpha_{l-1} - \beta_{l-1}\alpha_l}\left[\frac{1}{(\alpha_l d_{k+1} + \beta_l)c_{k+1}^2} - \frac{1}{(\alpha_l d_k + \beta_l)c_k^2}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{222}$$

Therefore we can represent the solution to this equation as:

$$V_{k,l} = \gamma_l - \frac{\alpha_l\delta_1\delta_3}{(\alpha_l d_k + \beta_l)c_k^2(\beta_l\alpha_{l-1} - \beta_{l-1}\alpha_l)} + \frac{\delta_3\delta_1 e_k}{\beta_l\alpha_{l-1} - \beta_{l-1}\alpha_l}, \tag{223}$$

where e_k is given by the discrete integration:

$$e_{k+1} = e_k + \frac{(c_{k+1} - c_k)^2}{(d_{k+1} - d_k) c_k^2 c_{k+1}^2}. \tag{224}$$

Inserting the obtained value of $v_{k,l}$ we can compute $w_{k,l}$ from (188). Finally we can compute $z_{k,l}$ from the original system (206) and we obtain a *single* compatibility condition given by:

$$(\beta_l \alpha_{l+1} - \beta_{l+1}\alpha_l)\gamma_{l+1} - (\beta_{l-1}\alpha_l - \beta_l \alpha_{l-1})\gamma_l = (\beta_l \alpha_{l+1} - \beta_{l+1}\alpha_l)\delta_2. \tag{225}$$

Alternatively this condition can be expressed as

$$\gamma_l = \frac{\delta_l \delta_2}{\beta_{l-1}\alpha_l - \beta_l \alpha_{l-1}}. \tag{226}$$

with δ_l given by the following discrete integration:

$$\delta_{l+1} = \delta_l + \alpha_{l+1}\beta_l - \alpha_l \beta_{l+1}. \tag{227}$$

So, the auxiliary function $V_{k,l}$ is given by (223–227), where e_k and δ_l are defined implicitly and can be found by discrete integration. Then the general solution of the ${}_2D_4$ equation (10f) is constructed by successive substitution in (221), (213), (211) and (206a).

4 The ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ and the ${}_tH_3^\varepsilon$ equations

In this Section construct a general solution of the ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ and the ${}_tH_3^\varepsilon$ equations. As we recalled in the Introduction the solution of the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ through the first integrals was already presented in [22], so we will not discuss it again. Moreover we also recall that the general solution of the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ equation was first found in [17,18] without the knowledge of the first integrals. The first integrals of the ${}_tH_1^\varepsilon$ equation were first presented in [19].

The procedure we will follow will make use of the first integrals, in a similar way than in the cases presented in Section 3. The main difference is in the fact that the H^4 are non-autonomous only in the direction m, i.e. they depend only on the non-autonomous factors $F_m^{(\pm)}$ as given by (8). Therefore instead of the general transformation (17) we can use the simplified transformation:

$$u_{n,2l} = p_{n,l}, \quad u_{n,2l+1} = q_{n,l}. \tag{228}$$

Then to describe the general solution of a H^4 we only need three arbitrary functions: one in the n direction and two in the m direction.

4.1 The ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ equation

From [22] we know that ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ equation (9b) possesses the following four-point, third order integral in the n direction:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 \; = & F_m^{(+)} \frac{(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m})\,(u_{n+2,m} - u_{n,m})}{\varepsilon^2 \alpha_2^4 + 4\varepsilon \alpha_2^3 + \left[(8\alpha_3 - 2u_{n,m} - 2u_{n+1,m})\,\varepsilon - 1\right]\alpha_2^2 + (u_{n,m} - u_{n+1,m})^2} \\ & - F_m^{(-)} \frac{(-u_{n+1,m} + u_{n-1,m})\,(u_{n,m} - u_{n+2,m})}{(-u_{n-1,m} + u_{n,m} + \alpha_2)\,(u_{n+1,m} + \alpha_2 - u_{n+2,m})}. \end{aligned} \tag{229}$$

This first integral is particularly complex to begin with, so we start by first inspecting the ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ equation (9b) itself. If we apply the transformation (228) we can write down the ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ equation (9b) as the following system of two coupled equations:

$$\begin{aligned}(p_{n,l}-p_{n+1,l})(q_{n,l}-q_{n+1,l})-\alpha_2(p_{n,l}+p_{n+1,l}+q_{n,l}+q_{n+1,l})\\ +\frac{\varepsilon\alpha_2}{2}(2q_{n,l}+2\alpha_3+\alpha_2)(2q_{n+1,l}+2\alpha_3+\alpha_2)\\ +\frac{\varepsilon\alpha_2}{2}(2\alpha_3+\alpha_2)^2+(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)^2\\ -\alpha_3^2-2\varepsilon\alpha_2\alpha_3(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)=0,\end{aligned} \tag{230a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(q_{n,l}-q_{n+1,l})(p_{n,l+1}-p_{n+1,l+1})-\alpha_2(q_{n,l}+q_{n+1,l}+p_{n,l+1}+p_{n+1,l+1})\\ +\frac{\varepsilon\alpha_2}{2}(2q_{n,l}+2\alpha_3+\alpha_2)(2q_{n+1,l}+2\alpha_3+\alpha_2)\\ +\frac{\varepsilon\alpha_2}{2}(2\alpha_3+\alpha_2)^2+(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)^2-\alpha_3^2\\ -2\varepsilon\alpha_2\alpha_3(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)=0.\end{aligned} \tag{230b}$$

We have that equation (230a) depends on $p_{n,l}$ and $p_{n+1,l}$ and that equation (230b) depends on $p_{n,l+1}$ and $p_{n+1,l+1}$. So we apply the translation operator T_l to (230a) to obtain two equations in terms of $p_{n,l+1}$ and $p_{n+1,l+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}(p_{n,l+1}-p_{n+1,l+1})(q_{n,l+1}-q_{n+1,l+1})-\alpha_2(p_{n,l+1}+p_{n+1,l+1}+q_{n,l+1}+q_{n+1,l+1})\\ +\frac{\varepsilon\alpha_2}{2}(2q_{n,l+1}+2\alpha_3+\alpha_2)(2q_{n+1,l+1}+2\alpha_3+\alpha_2)\\ +\frac{\varepsilon\alpha_2}{2}(2\alpha_3+\alpha_2)^2+(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)^2\\ -\alpha_3^2-2\varepsilon\alpha_2\alpha_3(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)=0,\end{aligned} \tag{231a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(q_{n,l}-q_{n+1,l})(p_{n,l+1}-p_{n+1,l+1})-\alpha_2(q_{n,l}+q_{n+1,l}+p_{n,l+1}+p_{n+1,l+1})\\ +\frac{\varepsilon\alpha_2}{2}(2q_{n,l}+2\alpha_3+\alpha_2)(2q_{n+1,l}+2\alpha_3+\alpha_2)\\ +\frac{\varepsilon\alpha_2}{2}(2\alpha_3+\alpha_2)^2+(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)^2-\alpha_3^2\\ -2\varepsilon\alpha_2\alpha_3(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)=0.\end{aligned} \tag{231b}$$

The system (231) is equivalent to the original system (230). We can solve (231) with respect to $p_{n,l+1}$ and $p_{n+1,l+1}$:

$$p_{n,l+1}=\frac{\left\{\begin{array}{c}(q_{n,l+1}-\alpha_3)\,q_{n+1,l}-(q_{n,l}-\alpha_3)\,q_{n+1,l+1}\\ -(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\,(q_{n,l+1}-q_{n,l})-\varepsilon\alpha_3^2\,(q_{n,l+1}-q_{n,l})\\ +\varepsilon\left[{\alpha_3}^2+2\alpha_3\,(q_{n,l}+\alpha_2)-(q_{n,l+1}-q_{n,l})\,q_{n+1,l}\right]q_{n+1,l+1}\\ +\varepsilon\,(\alpha_2+q_{n,l+1})\,(\alpha_2+q_{n,l})\,q_{n+1,l+1}\\ -\varepsilon q_{n+1,l}\left[{\alpha_3}^2-2\,(q_{n,l+1}+\alpha_2)\,\alpha_3+(\alpha_2+q_{n,l+1})\,(\alpha_2+q_{n,l})\right]\end{array}\right\}}{q_{n+1,l+1}-q_{n,l+1}+q_{n,l}-q_{n+1,l}} \tag{232a}$$

$$p_{n+1,l+1} = \frac{\left\{\begin{array}{c} (q_{n+1,l}-\alpha_3)\,q_{n,l+1} + (\alpha_3 - q_{n+1,l+1})\,q_{n,l} \\ -(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\,(q_{n+1,l}-q_{n+1,l+1}) + \varepsilon\alpha_3^2\,(q_{n+1,l+1}-q_{n+1,l}) \\ +\varepsilon q_{n,l+1}\left[(q_{n+1,l+1}-q_{n+1,l})\,q_{n,l} - \alpha_3^2 - 2\alpha_3\,(q_{n+1,l}+\alpha_2)\right] \\ -\varepsilon q_{n,l+1}\,(\alpha_2+q_{n+1,l+1})\,(\alpha_2+q_{n+1,l}) \\ +\varepsilon q_{n,l}\left[\alpha_3^2 + 2\,(\alpha_2+q_{n+1,l+1})\,\alpha_3 + (\alpha_2+q_{n+1,l+1})\,(\alpha_2+q_{n+1,l})\right] \end{array}\right\}}{q_{n+1,l+1}-q_{n,l+1}+q_{n,l}-q_{n+1,l}} \tag{232b}$$

We see that the right hand sides of (232) are functions only of $q_{n,l}$, $q_{n+1,l}$, $q_{n,l+1}$ and $q_{n+1,l+1}$. Moreover (232a) and (232b) must be compatible. Therefore applying T_l^{-1} to (232b) and imposing to the obtained expression to be equal to (232a) we find that $q_{n,l}$ must solve the following equation:

$$\begin{array}{c} \alpha_2\,(q_{n-1,l+1}-q_{n-1,l}-q_{n+1,l+1}+q_{n+1,l}) \\ +\,(q_{n,l}-q_{n+1,l})\,q_{n-1,l+1} - (q_{n,l}-q_{n-1,l})\,q_{n+1,l+1} + q_{n,l+1}\,(q_{n+1,l}-q_{n-1,l}) \\ +\varepsilon\alpha_2^2\,(q_{n+1,l+1}-q_{n+1,l}+q_{n-1,l}-q_{n-1,l+1}) \\ +\varepsilon\alpha_2\,(q_{n+1,l+1}-q_{n+1,l}+q_{n-1,l}-q_{n-1,l+1})\,(q_{n,l+1}+2\alpha_3+q_{n,l}) \\ +\varepsilon\,(q_{n+1,l}-q_{n-1,l})\,q_{n+1,l+1}q_{n-1,l+1} \\ +\varepsilon\,(q_{n,l+1}-q_{n,l}+q_{n+1,l})\,q_{n-1,l}q_{n-1,l+1} \\ +\varepsilon\,[2\alpha_3 q_{n+1,l} - (q_{n,l+1}+2\alpha_3)\,q_{n,l}]\,q_{n-1,l+1} \\ +\varepsilon\,[(q_{n,l+1}+2\alpha_3)\,q_{n,l} - (2\alpha_3+q_{n+1,l})\,q_{n-1,l} - (q_{n,l+1}-q_{n,l})\,q_{n+1,l}]\,q_{n+1,l+1} \\ -\varepsilon\,(2\alpha_3+q_{n,l})\,(q_{n+1,l}-q_{n-1,l})\,q_{n,l+1} = 0. \end{array} \tag{233}$$

This partial difference equation for $q_{n,l}$ is not defined on a quad graph, but it is defined on the six-point lattice shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4: The six-point lattice.

Remark 4: Let us note that if the field $q_{n,l}$ satisfies the following equation, known as the *discrete wave equation*:

$$q_{n+1,l+1} + q_{n,l} - q_{n+1,l} + q_{n,l+1} = 0 \tag{234}$$

we cannot define $p_{n,l+1}$ and $p_{n+1,l+1}$ as given in (232). In this case we have first to solve equation (234) with respect to $q_{n,l}$ and then use the system (230) to specify $p_{n,l}$. The discrete wave equation (234) is a trivial *linear* Darboux

integrable equation, since it possesses the following two-point, first order first integrals:

$$W_1 = q_{n+1,l} - q_{n,l}, \tag{235a}$$

$$W_2 = q_{n,l+1} - q_{n,l}. \tag{235b}$$

As remarked in the Introduction the existence of a two-point, first order first integral means that the equation is itself a first integral. Therefore the discrete wave equation (234) can be alternatively written as $(T_l - \mathrm{Id})\, W_1$ or $(T_n - \mathrm{Id})\, W_2$ with W_1 and W_2 given by (235). The solution is readily obtained from (235a) which implies $q_{n+1,l} - q_{n,l} = \xi_n$. This equation becomes a total difference setting $\xi_n = a_{n+1} - a_n$, and we get the general solution of equation (234):

$$q_{n,l} = a_n + \alpha_l, \tag{236}$$

where both a_n and α_l are arbitrary functions of their argument. This is the discrete analogue of d'Alembert method of solution of the continuous wave equation. Substituting (236) into (231) we obtain the compatibility condition:

$$\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l = 0, \tag{237}$$

i.e. $\alpha_l = \alpha_0 =$ constant and the system (230) is now consistent. Therefore we are left with one equation for $p_{n,l}$, e.g. (230a). Therefore inserting (236) with $\alpha_l = \alpha_0$ in (230a) and solving with respect to $p_{n+1,l}$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n+1,l} = {} & \frac{a_{n+1} - a_n + \alpha_2}{a_{n+1} - a_n - \alpha_2} p_{n,l} + \frac{\alpha_2 \left(\alpha_2 - a_n + 2\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_0 - a_{n+1}\right)}{\alpha_2 + a_n - a_{n+1}} \\ & + \frac{\alpha_2 \varepsilon \left[\alpha_2^2 + \left(2\alpha_0 + a_{n+1} + 2\alpha_3 + a_n\right) \alpha_2 + 2 \left(a_{n+1} + \alpha_0 + \alpha_3\right) \left(\alpha_3 + a_n + \alpha_0\right)\right]}{\alpha_2 + a_n - a_{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{238}$$

Defining through discrete integration a new function b_n such that:

$$\frac{a_{n+1} - a_n + \alpha_2}{a_{n+1} - a_n - \alpha_2} = \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n}, \tag{239}$$

we have that $p_{n,l}$ solves the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_{n+1,l}}{b_{n+1}} = {} & \frac{p_{n,l}}{b_n} + \frac{\left(a_n + \alpha_0 - \alpha_3\right) b_{n+1} + b_n \left(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_0 - a_n\right)}{b_n b_{n+1}} \\ & - \varepsilon \frac{\left[\left(\alpha_2 + \alpha_0 + a_n + \alpha_3\right)^2 b_{n+1} - b_n \left(\alpha_3 + a_n + \alpha_0\right)^2\right]}{b_n b_{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{240}$$

Equation (240) is solved by:

$$p_{n,l} = b_n \left(\beta_l + c_n\right), \tag{241}$$

where c_n is given by the discrete integration:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n+1} = {} & c_n + \frac{\left(a_n + \alpha_0 - \alpha_3\right) b_{n+1} + b_n \left(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_0 - a_n\right)}{b_n b_{n+1}} \\ & - \varepsilon \frac{\left[\left(\alpha_2 + \alpha_0 + a_n + \alpha_3\right)^2 b_{n+1} - b_n \left(\alpha_3 + a_n + \alpha_0\right)^2\right]}{b_n b_{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{242}$$

This yields the solution of the ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ equation (9b) when $q_{n,l}$ satisfy the discrete wave equation (234).

In the general case we have proved that the ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ equation (9b) is equivalent to the system (230) which in turn is equivalent to the solution of equations (232a) and (233). However (232a) merely defines $p_{n,l+1}$ in terms of $q_{n,l}$ and its shifts. Therefore if we find the general solution of equation (233) the value of $p_{n,l}$ will follow. To find such solution we turn to the first integrals. Like in the case of the H^6 equations (10) we will find an expression for $q_{n,l}$ using the first integrals, and then we will insert it into (233) to reduce the number of arbitrary functions to the right one.

We consider the equation $W_1 = \xi_n$, where W_1 is given by (229), with $k = 2l+1$:

$$\frac{(u_{n-1,2l+1} - u_{n+1,2l+1})(u_{n+2,2l+1} - u_{n,2l+1})}{(u_{n,2l+1} - u_{n-1,2l+1} + \alpha_2)(u_{n+1,2l+1} - u_{n+2,2l+1} + \alpha_2)} = \xi_n. \tag{243}$$

Using the substitutions (228) we have:

$$\frac{(q_{n-1,l} - q_{n+1,l})(q_{n+2,l} - q_{n,l})}{(q_{n,l} - q_{n-1,l} + \alpha_2)(q_{n+1,l} - q_{n+2,l} + \alpha_2)} = \xi_n. \tag{244}$$

This equation contains only $q_{n,l}$ and its shifts. From equation (244) it is very simple to obtain a discrete Riccati equation. Indeed the transformation:

$$Q_{n,l} = \frac{q_{n,l} - q_{n-1,l} + \alpha_2}{q_{n+1,l} - q_{n-1,l}} \tag{245}$$

brings (244) into:

$$Q_{n+1,l} + \frac{1}{\xi_n Q_{n,l}} = 1 \tag{246}$$

which is a discrete Riccati equation. Let us assume a_n to be a particular solution of (246), then we express ξ_n as:

$$\xi_n = \frac{1}{a_n(1 - a_{n+1})}. \tag{247}$$

Using the standard linearization of the discrete Riccati equation:

$$Q_{n,l} = a_n + \frac{1}{Z_{n,l}} \tag{248}$$

from (247) we obtain the following equation for $Z_{n,l}$:

$$Z_{n+1,l} = \frac{a_n Z_{n,l} + 1}{1 - a_{n+1}}. \tag{249}$$

Introducing:

$$a_n = \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n + b_{n-1}} \tag{250}$$

we obtain:

$$Z_{n+1,l} - \frac{b_{n-1}b_{n+1} + b_{n-1}b_n}{b_n b_{n+1} + b_{n-1}b_{n+1}} Z_{n,l} = \frac{b_{n-1}b_{n+1} + b_{n-1}b_n + b_n b_{n+1} + b_n^2}{b_n b_{n+1} + b_{n-1}b_{n+1}}. \tag{251}$$

If we assume that (251) can be written as a total difference, i.e.:

$$(T_n - \mathrm{Id})\,(d_n Z_{n,l} - c_n) = 0, \tag{252}$$

we obtain:

$$b_n = c_{n+1} - c_n, \quad d_n = \frac{(c_{n+1} - c_n)(c_n - c_{n-1})}{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}. \tag{253}$$

So b_n must be a total difference and therefore we can represent $Z_{n,l}$ as:

$$Z_{n,l} = \frac{(c_{n+1} - c_{n-1})(c_n + \alpha_l)}{(c_{n+1} - c_n)(c_n - c_{n-1})}. \tag{254}$$

From (248) and (250) we obtain the form of $Q_{n,l}$:

$$Q_{n,l} = \frac{(c_n - c_{n-1})(c_{n+1} + \alpha_l)}{(c_n + \alpha_l)(c_{n+1} - c_{n-1})}. \tag{255}$$

Introducing the value of $Q_{n,l}$ from (255) into (245) we obtain the following equation for $q_{n,l}$:

$$\frac{q_{n+1,l} - q_{n-1,l}}{q_{n,l} - q_{n-1,l} + \alpha_2} = \frac{(c_n + \alpha_l)(c_{n+1} - c_{n-1})}{(c_n - c_{n-1})(c_{n+1} + \alpha_l)}. \tag{256}$$

Performing the transformation:

$$R_{n,l} = (c_n + \alpha_l)\, q_{n,l} \tag{257}$$

we obtain the following second order ordinary difference equation for the field $R_{n,l}$:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{n+1,l} - \frac{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} R_{n,l} \\ + \frac{c_{n+1} - c_n}{c_n - c_{n-1}} R_{n-1,l} - \alpha_2 (c_n + \alpha_l) \frac{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{258}$$

Then we can represent the solutions of the equation (258) as:

$$R_{n,l} = P_{n,l} + \alpha_l e_n + f_n, \tag{259}$$

where e_n and f_n are particular solutions of:

$$e_{n+1} - \frac{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} e_n + \frac{c_{n+1} - c_n}{c_n - c_{n-1}} e_{n-1} - \alpha_2 \frac{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} = 0, \tag{260a}$$

$$f_{n+1} - \frac{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} f_n + \frac{c_{n+1} - c_n}{c_n - c_{n-1}} f_{n-1} - \alpha_2 c_n \frac{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} = 0. \tag{260b}$$

$P_{n,l}$ will be then solve the following equation:

$$P_{n+1,l} - \frac{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} P_{n,l} + \frac{c_{n+1} - c_n}{c_n - c_{n-1}} P_{n-1,l} = 0. \tag{261}$$

The equations (260a) and (260b) are not independent. Indeed defining:

$$A_n = \frac{e_n c_{n-1} - e_{n-1} c_n - f_n + f_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}}, \tag{262}$$

and using (260) it is possible to show that the function A_n lies in the kernel of the operator $T_n - \mathrm{Id}$. This implies that $A_n = A_0 = \text{constant}$. We can without loss of generality assume the constant A_0 to be zero, since if we perform the transformation:

$$e_n = \tilde{e}_n - A_0, \tag{263}$$

the equation (262) is mapped into:

$$\frac{\tilde{e}_n c_{n-1} - \tilde{e}_{n-1} c_n - f_n + f_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} = 0. \tag{264}$$

Furthermore since (260a) is invariant under the transformation (263) we can safely drop the tilde in (264) and assume that the functions e_n and f_n are solutions of the equations:

$$e_{n+1} - \frac{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} e_n + \frac{c_{n+1} - c_n}{c_n - c_{n-1}} e_{n-1} - \alpha_2 \frac{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} = 0, \tag{265a}$$

$$f_n - f_{n-1} = e_n c_{n-1} - c_n e_{n-1}. \tag{265b}$$

Finally we note that the function e_n can be obtained from (265a) by *two* discrete integrations. Indeed defining:

$$E_n = \frac{e_{n+1} - e_n}{c_{n+1} - c_n}, \tag{266}$$

and substituting in (265a) we obtain that E_n must solve the equation:

$$E_n - E_{n-1} = \alpha_2 \left(\frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n} + \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} \right). \tag{267}$$

Note that the right hand side of (267) is not a total difference. So the function e_n can be obtained by integrating (267) and subsequently integrating (266). This provides the value of e_n. The obtained value can be plugged in (265b) to give f_n after discrete integration. This reasoning shows that we can obtain the non-arbitrary functions e_n and f_n as result of a finite number of discrete integrations.

Now we turn to the solution of the homogeneous equation (261). We can reduce (261) to a total difference using the potential substitution $T_{n,l} = P_{n,l} - P_{n-1,l}$:

$$\frac{T_{n+1,l}}{c_{n+1} - c_n} - \frac{T_{n,l}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} = 0. \tag{268}$$

This clearly implies:

$$\frac{P_{n,l} - P_{n-1,l}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} = \beta_l, \tag{269}$$

where β_l is an arbitrary function. The solution to this equation is given by[4]:

$$P_{n,l} = (c_n + \alpha_l)\, \beta_l + \gamma_l, \tag{270}$$

where γ_l is an arbitrary function. Using (257,259,270) we obtain then the following expression for $q_{n,l}$:

$$q_{n,l} = \beta_l + \frac{\gamma_l + \alpha_l e_n + f_n}{c_n + \alpha_l}, \tag{271}$$

[4]The arbitrary functions are taken in a convenient way.

where e_n and f_n are solutions of (265).

Now since the solution we obtained in (271) depends on three arbitrary functions in the l direction, namely α_l, β_l and γ_l, there must be a constraint between these functions. This constraint is readily obtained by plugging (271) into (233). Factorizing the n dependent part away we are left with:

$$\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l = -\left(\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l\right)\left(\alpha_2 + \beta_{l+1} + \beta_l + 2\alpha_3 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right). \tag{272}$$

This equation tells us that the function γ_l can be expressed after a discrete integration in terms of the two arbitrary functions α_l and β_l. So the function $q_{n,l}$ is defined by (265,271,272) where the functions e_n, f_n and γ_l are defined implicitly and can be found by discrete integration. The value of $p_{n,l}$ now can be recovered by substituting (265,271,272) into (232a) and applying T_l^{-1}. This yields the general solution of the ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ equation (9b).

Remark 5: Let us notice that in the case $\varepsilon = 0$ formula (272) is singular. However in this case we just express the compatibility condition as $\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l = 0$, i.e. $\alpha_l = \alpha_0 =$ constant. It is easy to check that the obtained value of $q_{n,l}$ through formula (271) is consistent with the substitution of $\varepsilon = 0$ in (230). This means that in the case $\varepsilon = 0$ the value of $q_{n,l}$ is given by

$$q_{n,l} = \beta_l + \frac{\gamma_l + \alpha_0 e_n + f_n}{c_n + \alpha_0}, \tag{273}$$

where the functions e_n and f_n are defined implicitly and can be found by discrete integration from (265). As in the general case the value of $p_{n,l}$ now can be recovered by substituting (265,271) into (232a) and applying T_l^{-1}. This yields the general solution of the ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ equation (9b) if $\varepsilon = 0$.

4.2 The ${}_tH_3^\varepsilon$ equation

From [22] we know that the ${}_tH_3^\varepsilon$ equation (9c) possesses a four-point, third order integral in the n direction:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 \;=\; & F_m^{(+)} \frac{\left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n+2,m} - u_{n,m}\right)}{\alpha_2^4\varepsilon^2\delta^2 - \alpha_2^3 u_{n+1,m}u_{n,m} + \alpha_2^2\left(u_{n,m}^2 + u_{n+1,m}^2 - 2\varepsilon^2\delta^2\right) - \alpha_2 u_{n,m}u_{n+1,m} + \varepsilon^2\delta^2} \\ & - F_m^{(-)} \frac{\left(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n-1,m}\right)\left(u_{n+2,m} - u_{n,m}\right)}{\alpha_2\left(-u_{n-1,m} + \alpha_2 u_{n,m}\right)\left(-u_{n+2,m} + u_{n+1,m}\alpha_2\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{274}$$

This first integral is particularly complex, so we start by first inspecting the ${}_tH_3^\varepsilon$ equation (9c) itself. If we apply the transformation (228) we can write down the ${}_tH_3^\varepsilon$ equation (9c) as the following system of two coupled equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_2(p_{n,l}q_{n+1,l} + p_{n+1,l}q_{n,l}) - p_{n,l}q_{n,l} - p_{n+1,l}q_{n+1,l} \\ & \qquad - \alpha_3(\alpha_2^2 - 1)\left(\delta^2 + \varepsilon^2\frac{q_{n,l}q_{n+1,l}}{\alpha_3^2\alpha_2}\right) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{275a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_2(q_{n,l}p_{n+1,l+1} + q_{n+1,l}p_{n,l+1}) - q_{n,l}p_{n,l+1} - q_{n+1,l}p_{n+1,l+1} \\ & \qquad - \alpha_3(\alpha_2^2 - 1)\left(\delta^2 + \varepsilon^2\frac{q_{n,l}q_{n+1,l}}{\alpha_3^2\alpha_2}\right) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{275b}$$

As in the case of the ${}_tH_2^{\varepsilon}$ equation (9b) we have that equation (275a) depends on $p_{n,l}$ and $p_{n+1,l}$ and that equation (275b) depends on $p_{n,l+1}$ and $p_{n+1,l+1}$. So we can apply the translation operator T_l to (275a) to obtain two equations in terms of $p_{n,l+1}$ and $p_{n+1,l+1}$:

$$\alpha_2(p_{n,l+1}q_{n+1,l+1}+p_{n+1,l+1}q_{n,l+1})-p_{n,l+1}q_{n,l+1}-p_{n+1,l+1}q_{n+1,l+1} \\ -\alpha_3(\alpha_2^2-1)\left(\delta^2+\varepsilon^2\frac{q_{n,l+1}q_{n+1,l+1}}{\alpha_3^2\alpha_2}\right)=0, \tag{276a}$$

$$\alpha_2(q_{n,l}p_{n+1,l+1}+q_{n+1,l}p_{n,l+1})-q_{n,l}p_{n,l+1}-q_{n+1,l}p_{n+1,l+1} \\ -\alpha_3(\alpha_2^2-1)\left(\delta^2+\varepsilon^2\frac{q_{n,l}q_{n+1,l}}{\alpha_3^2\alpha_2}\right)=0. \tag{276b}$$

The system (276) is equivalent to the original system (275). Then since we can assume $\alpha_2,\alpha_3\neq 0$[5] we can solve (276) with respect to $p_{n,l+1}$ and $p_{n+1,l+1}$:

$$p_{n,l+1}=\frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}\alpha_2\left(q_{n+1,l+1}-q_{n+1,l}\right)\left(\varepsilon^2q_{n,l}q_{n,l+1}+\delta^2{\alpha_3}^2\right)\\ +\delta^2\alpha_2^2\alpha_3^2\left(q_{n,l}-q_{n,l+1}\right)+\varepsilon^2q_{n+1,l+1}q_{n+1,l}\left(q_{n,l}-q_{n,l+1}\right)\end{array}\right]}{\left(q_{n+1,l+1}q_{n,l}-q_{n+1,l}q_{n,l+1}\right)\alpha_3\alpha_2}, \tag{277a}$$

$$p_{n+1,l+1}=\frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}\alpha_2\left(\varepsilon^2q_{n+1,l+1}q_{n+1,l}+\delta^2{\alpha_3}^2\right)\left(q_{n,l}-q_{n,l+1}\right)\\ +\delta^2\alpha_2^2\alpha_3^2\left(q_{n+1,l+1}-q_{n+1,l}\right)+\varepsilon^2q_{n,l}q_{n,l+1}\left(q_{n+1,l+1}-q_{n+1,l}\right)\end{array}\right]}{\left(q_{n+1,l+1}q_{n,l}-q_{n+1,l}q_{n,l+1}\right)\alpha_3\alpha_2}. \tag{277b}$$

We see that the right hand sides of (277) are functions only of $q_{n,l}$, $q_{n+1,l}$, $q_{n,l+1}$ and $q_{n+1,l+1}$. Moreover (277a) and (277b) must be compatible. Therefore applying T_l^{-1} to (277b) and imposing to the obtained expression to be equal to (277a) we find that $q_{n,l}$ must solve the following equation:

$$\begin{array}{c}\delta^2\alpha_2^2\alpha_3^2\left[q_{n+1,l+1}q_{n,l}-q_{n,l}q_{n-1,l+1}+q_{n,l+1}\left(q_{n-1,l}-q_{n+1,l}\right)\right]\\ -\alpha_2\left(\varepsilon^2q_{n,l}q_{n,l+1}+\delta^2\alpha_3^2\right)\left(q_{n+1,l+1}q_{n-1,l}-q_{n-1,l+1}q_{n+1,l}\right)\\ +\varepsilon^2\left[q_{n,l}q_{n+1,l+1}\left(q_{n-1,l}-q_{n+1,l}\right)-q_{n,l+1}q_{n-1,l}q_{n+1,l}\right]q_{n-1,l+1}\\ +\varepsilon^2q_{n+1,l+1}q_{n+1,l}q_{n,l+1}q_{n-1,l}=0.\end{array} \tag{278}$$

As in the case of the ${}_tH_2^{\varepsilon}$ equation (9b) the partial difference equation for $q_{n,l}$ is not defined on a quad graph, but it is defined on the six-point lattice shown in Figure 4.

Remark 6: Let us note that if

$$q_{n+1,l+1}q_{n,l}-q_{n+1,l}q_{n,l+1}=0 \tag{279}$$

we cannot define $p_{n,l+1}$ and $p_{n+1,l+1}$ as in (277). In this case we first solve equation (279) with respect to $q_{n,l}$ and then use the system (275) to specify

[5] If $\alpha_2=0$ or $\alpha_3=0$ in (275) we have that the system becomes trivially equivalent to $q_{n,l}=0$ and $p_{n,l}$ is left unspecified. Therefore we can discard this trivial case.

$p_{n,l}$. Indeed equation (279) is a trivial Darboux integrable equation, since it possesses the following two-point, first order first integrals:

$$W_1 = \frac{q_{n+1,l}}{q_{n,l}}, \tag{280a}$$

$$W_2 = \frac{q_{n,l+1}}{q_{n,l}}. \tag{280b}$$

As remarked in the Introduction the existence of a two-point, first order first integral means that the equation is itself a first integral. Therefore the equation (279) can be alternatively written as $(T_l - \mathrm{Id})\, W_1$ or $(T_n - \mathrm{Id})\, W_2$ with W_1 and W_2 given by (280). From (280a) we obtain $q_{n+1,l}/q_{n,l} = \xi_n$. This equation is immediately solved by defining $\xi_n = a_{n+1}/a_n$, and we get the general solution of equation (279):

$$q_{n,l} = a_n \alpha_l, \tag{281}$$

where both a_n and α_l are arbitrary functions of their argument. Let us note that equation (279) is the *logarithmic discrete wave equation*, since it can be mapped into the discrete wave equation (234) exponentiating (234) and then taking $q_{n,l} \to e^{q_{n,l}}$, and it is a discretization of the hyperbolic partial differential equation:

$$u u_{xt} - u_x u_t = 0, \tag{282}$$

which is obtained from the wave equation $v_{xt} = 0$ using the transformation $v = \log u$. Since the transformation connecting (234) and (279) is not birational, it does not preserves *a priori* its properties [16] (in this case linearization and Darboux integrability). Substituting (281) into (276) we obtain the compatibility condition:

$$\alpha_{l+1} - \alpha_l = 0, \tag{283}$$

i.e. $\alpha_l = \alpha_0 =$ constant and the system (275) is now consistent. Therefore we are left with one equation for $p_{n,l}$, e.g. (275a). Therefore inserting (281) with $\alpha_l = \alpha_0$ in (275a) and solving with respect to $p_{n+1,l}$ we obtain:

$$p_{n+1,l} = \frac{\alpha_2 a_{n+1} - a_n}{a_{n+1} - \alpha_2 a_n} p_{n,l} + (\alpha_2^2 - 1) \frac{\delta^2 \alpha_3^2 \alpha_2 + \varepsilon^2 a_n \alpha_0^2 a_{n+1}}{\alpha_3 \alpha_2 \alpha_0 (\alpha_2 a_n - a_{n+1})}. \tag{284}$$

Defining

$$\frac{\alpha_2 a_{n+1} - a_n}{a_{n+1} - \alpha_2 a_n} = \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n}, \tag{285}$$

we have that $p_{n,l}$ solves the equation:

$$\frac{p_{n+1,l}}{b_{n+1}} = \frac{p_{n,l}}{b_n} + \frac{\delta^2 \alpha_3^2 \alpha_2^2 b_n - b_{n+1} \left(\delta^2 \alpha_3^2 + \varepsilon^2 a_n^2 \alpha_0^2\right) \alpha_2 + \varepsilon^2 a_n^2 \alpha_0^2 b_n}{b_n a_n \alpha_0 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 b_{n+1}}. \tag{286}$$

Note that b_n in (285) is given in terms of a_n and a_{n+1} through discrete integration. Equation (286) is solved by:

$$p_{n,l} = b_n \left(\beta_l + c_n\right), \tag{287}$$

where c_n is given by the discrete integration:

$$c_{n+1} = c_n + \frac{\delta^2 \alpha_3^2 \alpha_2^2 b_n - b_{n+1} \left(\delta^2 \alpha_3^2 + \varepsilon^2 a_n^2 \alpha_0^2\right) \alpha_2 + \varepsilon^2 a_n^2 \alpha_0^2 b_n}{b_n a_n \alpha_0 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 b_{n+1}}. \tag{288}$$

This yields the solution of the ${}_tH_3^\varepsilon$ equation (9c) when $q_{n,l}$ satisfy equation (279).

In the general case we have proved that the ${}_tH_3^\varepsilon$ equation (9c) is equivalent to the system (275) which in turn is equivalent to the solution of equations (277a) and (278). However equation (277a) merely defines $p_{n,l+1}$ in terms of $q_{n,l}$ and its shifts. Therefore if we find the general solution of (278) the value of $p_{n,l}$ will follow. To find such solution we turn to the first integrals. Like in the case of the ${}_tH_2^\varepsilon$ equation (9b) we will find an expression for $q_{n,l}$ using the first integrals, and then we will insert it into (278) to reduce the number of arbitrary functions to the right one.

We consider the equation $W_1 = \xi_n/\alpha_2$[6], where W_1 is given by (274), with $k = 2l + 1$:

$$\frac{(u_{n+1,2l+1} - u_{n-1,2l+1})\,(u_{n+2,2l+1} - u_{n,2l+1})}{(\alpha_2 u_{n,2l+1} - u_{n-1,2l+1})\,(u_{n+2,2l+1} - \alpha_2 u_{n+1,2l+1})} = \xi_n. \tag{289}$$

Using the substitutions (228) we have:

$$\frac{(q_{n+1,l} - q_{n-1,l})\,(q_{n+2,l} - q_{n,l})}{(\alpha_2 q_{n,l} - q_{n-1,l})\,(q_{n+2,l} - \alpha_2 q_{n+1,l})} = \xi_n. \tag{290}$$

This equation contains only $q_{n,l}$ and its shifts. By the transformation:

$$Q_{n,l} = \frac{\alpha_2 q_{n,l} - q_{n-1,l}}{q_{n+1,l} - q_{n-1,l}} \tag{291}$$

equation (290) becomes:

$$Q_{n+1,l} + \frac{1}{\xi_n Q_{n,l}} = 1, \tag{292}$$

which is the same discrete Riccati equation as in (246). This means that the solution of (292) is given by (255) with the appropriate definitions (247,250,253). We can substitute into (291) the solution (255):

$$\frac{q_{n+1,l} - q_{n-1,l}}{\alpha_2 q_{n,l} - q_{n-1,l}} = \frac{(c_n + \alpha_l)(c_{n+1} - c_{n-1})}{(c_{n+1} + \alpha_l)(c_n - c_{n-1})} \tag{293}$$

and we obtain an equation for $q_{n,l}$. Introducing:

$$P_{n,l} = (c_n + \alpha_l)\, q_{n,l} \tag{294}$$

we obtain that $P_{n,l}$ solves the equation:

$$P_{n+1,l} - \alpha_2 \frac{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}} P_{n,l} + \frac{c_{n+1} - c_n}{c_n - c_{n-1}} P_{n-1,l}. = 0. \tag{295}$$

Using the transformation:

$$P_{n,l} = \frac{R_{n,l}}{R_{n-1,l}}, \tag{296}$$

we can cast equation (295) in discrete Riccati equation form:

$$R_{n+1,l} + \frac{c_{n+1} - c_n}{c_n - c_{n-1}} \frac{1}{R_{n,l}} = \alpha_2 \frac{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}}. \tag{297}$$

[6]The extra α_2 is due to the arbitrariness of ξ_n and is inserted in order to simplify the forumulæ.

Let d_n be a particular solution of equation (297):

$$d_{n+1} + \frac{c_{n+1} - c_n}{c_n - c_{n-1}} \frac{1}{d_n} = \alpha_2 \frac{c_{n+1} - c_{n-1}}{c_n - c_{n-1}}. \tag{298}$$

Assuming d_n as the new arbitrary function we can express c_n as the result of *two* discrete integrations. Indeed introducing $z_n = c_n - c_{n-1}$ in (298) we have:

$$\frac{z_{n+1}}{z_n} = \frac{(d_{n+1} - \alpha_2)d_n}{\alpha_2 d_n - 1}. \tag{299}$$

Equation (299) represents the first discrete integration, whereas the second one is given by the definition:

$$c_n - c_{n-1} = z_n. \tag{300}$$

Now we can linearize the discrete Riccati equation (297) by the transformation:

$$R_{n,l} = d_n + \frac{1}{S_{n,l}}. \tag{301}$$

and we get the following *linear* equation for $S_{n,l}$:

$$S_{n+1,l} - \frac{d_n^2(c_n - c_{n-1})}{c_{n+1} - c_n} S_{n,l} = \frac{d_n(c_n - c_{n-1})}{c_{n+1} - c_n}. \tag{302}$$

Defining:

$$d_n = \frac{e_n}{e_{n-1}}, \tag{303a}$$

$$f_n - f_{n-1} = \frac{c_n - c_{n-1}}{e_n e_{n-1}}, \tag{303b}$$

the solution of (302) is:

$$S_{n,l} = \frac{(f_{n-1} + \beta_l)e_{n-1}^2}{c_n - c_{n-1}}. \tag{304}$$

Inserting (304) and (303) into (301) we obtain:

$$R_{n,l} = \frac{e_n(f_n + \beta_l)}{e_{n-1}(f_{n-1} + \beta_l)}. \tag{305}$$

Inserting the definition of $R_{n,l}$ (296) into (305) we obtain:

$$\frac{P_{n,l}}{e_n(f_n + \beta_l)} = \frac{P_{n-1,l}}{e_{n-1}(f_{n-1} + \beta_l)}, \tag{306}$$

i.e.:

$$P_{n,l} = \gamma_l e_n(f_n + \beta_l). \tag{307}$$

Introducing (307) into (294) we obtain:

$$q_{n,l} = \frac{\gamma_l e_n(f_n + \beta_l)}{c_n + \alpha_l}, \tag{308}$$

where f_n is defined by (303b), and c_n is given by (299) and (300), i.e. c_n is the solution of the equation:

$$\frac{c_{n+1}-c_n}{c_n-c_{n-1}}=\frac{e_{n+1}-\alpha_2 e_n}{\alpha_2 e_n-e_{n-1}}, \tag{309}$$

and e_n is an arbitrary function.

Now since the solution we obtained in (308) depends on three arbitrary functions in the l direction, namely α_l, β_l and γ_l, there must be a constraint between these functions. This constraint is readily obtained by plugging (308) into (278). Factorizing the n dependent part away we are left with:

$$\alpha_{l+1}-\alpha_l=\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\alpha_2\delta^2\alpha_3^2}\gamma_{l+1}\gamma_l(\beta_{l+1}-\beta_l) \tag{310}$$

This equation tells us that the function α_l can be expressed after a discrete integration in terms of the two arbitrary functions β_l and γ_l. So the function $q_{n,l}$ is defined by (303b,308–310) where the functions c_n, f_n and α_l are defined implicitly and can be found by discrete integration. The value of $p_{n,l}$ now can be recovered by substituting (303b,308–310) into (277a) and applying T_l^{-1}. This yields the general solution of the ${}_tH_3^{\varepsilon}$ equation (9c).

Remark 7: Let us notice that when $\delta=0$ equation (310) is singular. However in this case the compatibility condition is replaced by $\beta_{l+1}-\beta_l=0$, i.e. $\beta_l=\beta_0=$ constant. It is easy to check that the obtained value of $q_{n,l}$ through formula (308) is consistent with the substitution of $\delta=0$ in (275). This means that in the case $\delta=0$ the value of $q_{n,l}$ is given by

$$q_{n,l}=\frac{\gamma_l e_n(f_n+\beta_0)}{c_n+\alpha_l}, \tag{311}$$

where the functions c_n and f_n are defined implicitly and can be found by discrete integration from (303b) and (309) respectively. As in the general case the value of $p_{n,l}$ now can be recovered by substituting (303b,308,309) into (277a) and applying T_l^{-1}. This yields the general solution of the ${}_tH_3^{\varepsilon}$ equation (9c) if $\delta=0$.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we presented a detailed procedure to construct the general solutions for all the H^4 and H^6 equations. As stated in the Introduction these general solutions were obtained in three different ways, but the common feature is that they can be found through some *linear or linearizable (discrete Riccati) equations*. This is the great advantage of the first integral approach with respect to the direct one which was pursued in [17]. The Darboux integrability therefore yields extra information that it is useful to get the final result, i.e. the general solutions. Moreover linearization arises very naturally from first integrals also in the most complicated cases, whereas in the direct approach can be quite tricky, see e.g. the examples in [17]. The linearization of the first integrals is another proof of the intimate linear nature of the H^4 and H^6 equations. This result is even more stronger than Darboux integrability alone, since *a priori* the first integrals do not need to define linearizable equations.

We also note that our procedure of construction of the general solution, based on the ideas from [15], is likely to be the discrete version of the procedure of linearization and solutions for continuous Darboux integrable equations presented in [39]. The preeminent rôle of the discrete Riccati equation in the solutions is reminiscent of the importance of the usual Riccati equation in the continuous case. Recall e.g. that the first integrals of the Liouville equation [32]:

$$u_{xt} = e^u, \tag{312}$$

which is the most famous Darboux integrable system, are Riccati equations.

Finally we wish to point out that this paper definitely solves the problem of finding the general solutions of the H^4 and H^6 equations. Now we possess an almost complete theory about these equations ranging from the geometrical background to their analytic properties. For a discussion of the open problems in this field we refer to our previous paper [22].

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank prof. Decio Levi for the many interesting and fruitful discussion during the preparation of this paper.

GG is supported by INFN IS-CSN4 *Mathematical Methods of Nonlinear Physics*. RIY has been partly supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 17-41-020578-r-a).

References

[1] V. E. Adler, A. I. Bobenko, and Yu. B. Suris. Classification of integrable equations on quad-graphs. The consistency approach. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 233:513–543, 2003.

[2] V. E. Adler, A. I. Bobenko, and Yu. B. Suris. Discrete nonlinear hyperbolic equations. Classification of integrable cases. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 43:3–17, 2009.

[3] V. E. Adler and S. Ya. Startsev. Discrete analogues of the Liouville equation. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 121(2):1484–1495, 1999.

[4] M. Bellon and C-M. Viallet. Algebraic entropy. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 204:425–437, 1999.

[5] A. I. Bobenko and Yu. B. Suris. Integrable systems on quad-graphs. *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, 11:573–611, 2002.

[6] R. Boll. Classification of $3D$ consistent quad-equations. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 18(3):337–365, 2011.

[7] R. Boll. *Classification and Lagrangian structure of* $3D$ *consistent quad-equations*. PhD thesis, TU Berlin, 2012.

[8] R. Boll. Corrigendum classification of $3D$ consistent quad-equations. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 19(4):1292001, 2012.

[9] T. Bridgman, W. Hereman, G. R. W. Quispel, and P. H. van der Kamp. Symbolic computation of Lax pairs of partial difference equations using Consistency Around the Cube. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 13(4):517–544, 2013.

[10] F. Calogero and A. Degasperis. *Spectral Transform and Solitons I.* North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1982.

[11] F. Calogero and M. C. Nucci. Lax pairs galore. *J. Math. Phys.*, 32(1):72–74, 1991.

[12] A. Doliwa and P. M. Santini. Multidimensional quadrilateral lattices are integrable. *Phys. Lett. A*, 233:365–372, 1997.

[13] G. Falqui and C-M. Viallet. Singularity, complexity, and quasi-integrability of rational mappings. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 154:111–125, 1993.

[14] R. N. Garifullin and R. I. Yamilov. Generalized symmetry classification of discrete equations of a class depending on twelve parameters. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 45:345205 (23pp), 2012.

[15] R. N. Garifullin and R. I. Yamilov. Integrable discrete nonautonomous quad-equations as Bäcklund auto-transformations for known Volterra and Toda type semidiscrete equations. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 621:012005 (18pp), 2015.

[16] B. Grammaticos, A. Ramani, and C-M. Viallet. Solvable chaos. *Phys. Lett. A*, 336(2-3):152–158, 2005.

[17] G. Gubbiotti, C. Scimiterna, and D. Levi. Algebraic entropy, symmetries and linearization of quad equations consistent on the cube. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 23(4):507–543, 2016.

[18] G. Gubbiotti, C. Scimiterna, and D. Levi. Linearizability and fake Lax pair for a consistent around the cube nonlinear non-autonomous quad-graph equation. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 1889(1):1459–1471, 2016.

[19] G. Gubbiotti, C. Scimiterna, and D. Levi. On partial differential and difference equations with symmetries depending on arbitrary functions. *Acta Polytechnica*, 56(3):193–201, 2016.

[20] G. Gubbiotti, C. Scimiterna, and D. Levi. A non-autonomous generalization of the Q_{V} equation, 2017. `arXiv:1512.00395`, in publication on *J. Int. Sys.*

[21] G. Gubbiotti, C. Scimiterna, and D. Levi. The non autonomous YdKN equation and generalized symmetries of Boll equations, 2017. `arXiv:1510.07175`, in publication on *J. Math. Phys.*

[22] G. Gubbiotti and R. I. Yamilov. Darboux integrability of trapezoidal H^4 and H^6 families of lattice equations I: First integrals, 2017. `arXiv:1608.03506v2`.

[23] I. T. Habibullin. Characteristic algebras of fully discrete hyperbolic type equations. *SIGMA*, 1:23, 2005.

[24] M. Hay. A completeness study on discrete 2×2 Lax Pairs. *J. Math. Phys.*, 50:103516, 29pp, 2009.

[25] M. Hay. A completeness study on certain 2×2 Lax Pairs including zero terms. *SIGMA*, 7:089, 12pp, 2011.

[26] M. Hay and S. Butler. Simple identification of fake Lax pairs, 2012. `arXiv:1311.2406v1`.

[27] M. Hay and S. Butler. Two definitions of fake Lax pairs. *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1648:180006, 2015.

[28] J. Hietarinta. A new two-dimensional lattice model that is consistent around the cube. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 37:L67–L73, 2004.

[29] J. Hietarinta. Searching for CAC-maps. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 12:223–230, 2005.

[30] J. Hietarinta and C-M. Viallet. Searching for integrable lattice maps using factorization. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 40:12629–12643, 2007.

[31] J. Hietarinta and C-M. Viallet. Weak Lax pairs for lattice equations. *Nonlinearity*, 25:1955–1966, 2012.

[32] J. Liouville. Sur l'équation aux differences partielles $\frac{d^2 \log \lambda}{dudv} \pm \frac{\lambda}{2a^2} = 0$. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 18:71–72, 1853.

[33] F. W. Nijhoff. Lax pair for the Adler (lattice Krichever-Novikov) system. *Phys. Lett. A*, 297:49–58, 2002.

[34] F. W. Nijohff and A. J. Walker. The discrete and continous Painlevé VI hierarchy and the Garnier systems. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 43A:109–123, 2001.

[35] C-M. Viallet. Algebraic Entropy for lattice equations, 2006. `arXiv:0609.043`.

[36] C-M. Viallet. Integrable lattice maps: Q_5 a rational version of Q_4. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 51(A):157–163, 2009.

[37] P. D. Xenitidis and V. G. Papageorgiou. Symmetries and integrability of discrete equations defined on a black–white lattice. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 42(35):454025, 2009.

[38] R. I. Yamilov. Symmetries as integrability criteria for differential difference equations. *J. Phys. A.*, 39:R541–R623, 2006.

[39] A. V. Zhiber and V. V. Sokolov. Exactly integrable hyperbolic equations of Liouville type. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 56(1):61–101, 2011.